Fouling Control for RO/NF Systems

April 2009

×15k 0004 20kV 2µm





Keys to Treatment with Membrane Technology

Fouling Control

- Colloidal Material
- Biogrowth
- Scaling
- Organic Adsorption
- Coagulant post-precipitation







 $10 \,\mu m$

Membrane Fouling



Coating of Membrane Surface Flux Reduction / High Operating Press Frequent Chemical Cleaning Shorter Membrane Life











www.membranes.con

Chemical Composition of Typical RO Membrane



POLYESTER Fabric 150 microns thick

Composite PA Membrane Cross-Section



Keeping RO Membranes Clean



Surface Damage Caused by Particulate Matter on RO Surface



Coagulant Formation of Colloidal Foulant

C.J. Gabelich et al. / Desalination 150 (2002) 15-30



Solubility of amorphous (a) Al(OH)3 and (b) Fe(OH)3. Polynuclear complexes not included [25].

 $Al_{2}(SO_{4})_{3} \cdot 14 H_{2}O + 3 Ca(HCO_{3})_{2} \Longrightarrow 2 Al(OH)_{3} \downarrow \qquad 2 FeCl_{3} + 3 Ca(HCO_{3})_{2} \Longrightarrow 2 Fe(OH)_{3} \downarrow + 3 CaCl_{2} + 3 CaSO_{4} + 6 CO_{2} + 14 H_{2}O \qquad + 6 CO_{2}$

At pH 8.0, the solubility of iron is about 1 ug/l as $Fe(OH)_2^+$ and is much lower than for aluminum, which is 0.5 mg/l as $Al(OH)_4$





NITTO

EDAX ZAF Quantification (Standardless) **Element Normalized**

СК OK NaK AlK SiK PK AuM SK ClK CaK TiK FeK Total

EDAX ZAF Quantification (Standardless) **Element Normalized**

Wt %	At %	K-Ratio		Element	W
24.48	49.29	0.0467	1.10	СК	33
17.64	26.66	0.0357	1.08	ΟK	23
0.82	0.86	0.0021	1.01	NaK	1
3.05	2.73	0.0149	1.01	AlK	2
6.87	5.92	0.0418	1.03	SiK	4
1.86	1.45	0.0120	1.01	ΡK	1
24.33	2.99	0.1958	0.74	AuM	19
2.03	1.53	0.0111	1.04	SK	1
1.03	0.70	0.0064	0.95	ClK	0
0.63	0.38	0.0050	1.01	CaK	0
0.32	0.16	0.0027	0.92	TiK	0
16.94	7.33	0.1538	0.93	FeK	10
100.00	100.00			Total	100

lement	Wt %	At %	K-Ratio	2	A
СК	33.22	55.73	0.0746	1.0776	0.2085
O K	23.24	29.27	0.0456	1.0594	0.1851
NaK	1.71	1.50	0.0048	0.9912	0.2803
AIK	2.22	1.66	0.0114	0.9860	0.5220
SiK	4.54	3.26	0.0291	1.0146	0.6298
ΡK	1.36	0.89	0.0094	0.9851	0.6953
AuM	19.98	2.04	0.1695	0.7199	1.1777
SK	1.92	1.21	0.0114	1.0112	0.5874
CIK	0.57	0.33	0.0038	0.9653	0.6788
CaK	0.39	0.20	0.0032	0.9892	0.8286
TiK	0.23	0.10	0.0019	0.9039	0.9068
FeK	10.60	3.82	0.0948	0.9042	0.9792
Total	100 00	100 00			

Particulate on Spiral Wound Element

Scaling – Precipitation of Sparingly Soluble Salts

Calcium Phosphate

Calcium Sulfate

Calcium Carbonate

×2.0k

0005

20 µm

20kV

Silica Scale

Element	Wt%	At%
CK	10.22	16.23
OK	44.49	53.02
SiK	45.29	30.75
Matrix	Correction	ZAF

Damage of Membrane Surface by Calcium Phosphate Scale

Complex Organics: Naturally Occurring Matter (NOM)

Plant and animal decay products •Terrestrial- woody and herbaceous plants •Aquatic- algae and macrophytes

TO DENKO

Naturally Occurring Matter(NOM): Structure and Composition

Biofouling

Biofouled Membrane

Foulant Distribution in SWRO Pressure Vessel

Position	Ex-fact Rej, %	Ex-fact GPD	Retest Rej, %	Retest GPD	Retest DP, psi
1 (lead)	99.79	5354	99.78	5227	9.5
2	99.70	5126	99.84	5519	5.0
3	99.74	5729	99.81	6013	4.5
4	99.78	5775	99.81	6074	4.0
5	99.80	5427	99.88	5459	3.8
6	99.74	5511	99.83	6171	3.5
7	99.80	6127	99.84	6097	3.0
8 (tail)	99.80	6127	99.85	6074	3.2
	10	2	0		YDRANA

RGA 2725 A653657

Prevention of Fouling

How Can I Prevent Scale Formation in My RO/NF System?

Calcium Carbonate

- Lower pH, lower recovery, change AS, soften water
- Calcium Sulfate
 - Lower recovery, Optimize Antiscalant, soften water
- Barium Sulfate

O DENKC

- Lower Recovery, change AS, soften water
- Calcium Phosphate
 - Lower pH, change AS, lower recovery
- Silica
 - Increase pH, optimize AS, lower recovery

Recovery Limitations: Scaling

Hydranautics (warnings in program)

Satura	tion Limits:
CaSo4	230 %
SrSO4	800 %
BaSO4	6000 %
SiO2	100 %
LSI	<1.8 (Brackish Feed w. Scale Inhibitor)

Proprietary Chemicals (software provided by vendors)

	Product A	Product B
CaSO ₄	350%	250%
Sr ₂ SO ₄	3500%	3000%
Ba ₂ SO ₄	10500%	6500%
SiO ₂		240 mg/L
CaCO ₃	L.S.I. 3.0	L.S.I. 2.5
CaF ₂	1300000%	10000%

DENKO

Example of Normalized Data Showing Scale Formation

Example of Normalized Data Showing Scale Formation Cleaning 2nd Stage 0.50 100 99 Salt Rejection (Percent) Specific Flux (gfd/psi 0.40 98 allala 97 96 0.30 95 0.20 94 93 Spec. Flux - - - -0.10 92 Salt Rej 91 0.00 90 1100 1700 2300 3200 3500 1400 20002600 2900 **Operation Time (Hours)**

Example of Normalized Data Showing Scale Formation

Third Stage Element Showing Scale Formation

YDRANAUTICS www.membranes.com

How Can I Reduce Colloidal Fouling in My RO/NF System?

- Measurement
 - SDI (< 3 preferred)</p>
 - Turbidity (< 0.1 preferred)</p>
 - Particle Counts (<100 of 2 µm particles/ml)</p>
- Optimize Your Media Filtration
 - Media Selection
 - Coagulant Optimization
 - Proper Filtration Velocities

SDI - Silt Density Index

- Test is used to indicate the quantity of particulate matter in water
- SDI is used to determinate effectiveness of pretreatment
- SDI has been empirically correlated with the fouling tendency of membranes
- SDI will vary with filter paper manufacturer
- SDI may vary with water temperature

SDI formula

$SDI_{T} = (1 - T_{0}/T_{T})/T * 100$

T = Total elapsed flow time (usually 15 min.) T_0 = Initial time required to collect 500 ml of sample T_T = Time required to collect 500 ml of sample after test time T (usually 15 min.)

Test should be done at 207 kPa ± 7 kPa

How Can I Reduce Colloidal Fouling in My RO/NF System?

"Silt Density Indices (SDI), percent plugging factor (%PF); their relation to actual foulant deposition", Seymour S.Kremen, Matt Tanner, Desalination 119 (1998) 259-262

Optimized Media Filtration for Improving Colloidal Foulant Removal

Example SWRO Pilot Plant Trials in Mediterranean Region

3 x 150mm columns @ 11 m/hr filtration velocity

- Col #1: New Media, same coagulant as plant
- Col #2: Existing Media, new coagulant
- Col #3: New Media, new coagulant

Media

- Existing Media: 300 mm depth of 2.1 mm grain sand + 1000 mm depth of 0.94 mm grain sand
- New Media: 500 mm depth 0.4-0.8mm fine sand + 700 mm porous volcanic pumice

Coagulant

- Existing Coagulant: Ferric Chloride
- New Coagulant: Avista ROQuest Commercial Coagulant/Flocculant (FS, 4000, 6000)

Filtration Test : SDI Comparison of Various Coagulants/Flocculants

How Can I Reduce Colloidal Fouling in My RO/NF System?

- Measurement
 - SDI (< 3 preferred)</p>
 - Turbidity (< 0.1 preferred)</p>
 - Particle Counts (<100 of 2 µm particles/ml)</p>
- Optimize Your Media Filtration
 - Media Selection
 - Coagulant Optimization
 - Proper Filtration Velocities
- Membrane Pretreatment
 - MF is generally 0.2 micron
 - UF is generally 0.02 micron

Role of UF Pretreatment: Example Hydracap Capillary UF Membrane

UF Membrane

UF FILTRATE

Potential of Bacterial Regrowth Turbidity<0.05

> Food For Bacteria? Bacterial Contamination?

Particles MINIMIZE! Sand Silt **Bacteria**/Algae 99.999% Rej Colloidal Silica Silicates Organic 99.9+% Rej NOM Humic Acid **Fulvic Acid Acetic Acid** 10-20% Rej **Salts**

Scale Forming Soluble Salts 0% Rej

How Can I Reduce Colloidal and Particulate Fouling in My RO/NF System?

• Measurement

TTO DENKO

- SDI (< 3 preferred)</p>
- Turbidity (< 0.1 preferred)</p>
- Particle Counts (<100 of 2 µm particles/ml)</p>
- Optimize Your Media Filtration
 - Media Selection
 - Coagulant Optimization
 - Filtration Velocities
- Membrane Pretreatment
 - MF is generally 0.2 micron
 - UF is generally 0.02 micron
 - Maintain Fiber Integrity
- Feed/Brine Spacer in the Element
 - Increased thickness minimizes dP Increase

Effect of Feed/Brine Spacer Thinkness on Fouling Rates:

Automated Glue Line Control Allows Thicker Spacers without Membrane Area Loss

How Can I Reduce Biofouling in My RO/NF System?

- Measurement
 - Bacteria Counts
 - Slime Formation
 - Weigh Elements
- Prevent Formation of Assimible Organic Carbon (AOC)
 - Avoid Continuous Chlorination/Dechlorination
 - Use Shock Dosing as Needed
 - Disinfect Lines
 - UV Prior to High Pressure pump
- Chemical Prevention of Biofouling
 - DBNPA Non Oxidizing chemical
 - Isothiazolin
 - Chloramines (with caution)

Heavy Biofouling

Biofouling - pipework cleaning

BWRO Train A Performance - Biofouling

DBNPA Non Oxidizing Biostatic Agent

- Slug dosing, 10 100 ppm of active ingredient for 30 minutes to 3 hours every 5 days
- During slug dosing, the permeate should be dumped if it is for a potable water system.
- For continuous dosing, between 0.5 to 1 ppm of active ingredient is recommended.
- Although DBNPA is non-oxidizing, it will give an ORP reading of about 400 mv when in the range of 0.5 – 3 ppm (for comparison, 1 ppm chlorine typically gives an ORP reading of about 700 mv).
- For CIP use, 100-200 ppm of active ingredient for 1 hour would be recommended and keep pH neutral

DBNPA

2,2-dibromo-3-nitrilopropionamide

MW = 242Formula: $C_3H_2Br_2N_2O$

Isothiazolin

- 4 Hours of Contact time (or more)
- Broad Spectrum Biostatic Agent
- Target: Aerobic and Anaerobic Bacteria, Fungi, and Algae
- pH Range: 6 9
- Known under trade name Kathon

Chloramine Use in Wastewater

GWRS IMF RO - Normalized Differential Pressure

Permeate Flushings

17:35:54	.882 UF	20-FIC-003B/PID	UF block B product flow controller i	figh 6/17/2007 5:38:40 PM
SW INTAKE	SW INTAKE Cont.	DUAL MEDIA FILTERS	ULTRAFILTRATION	BACKWASH/FLUSH
RO FEED WATER	RO TRAINS 1st PASS	RO TRAINS 2nd PASS	PRODUCT LINE	IC CHLIPT CALCIUM HYP NNDASA
SW/ PROD CALCIUM HYP	ACID/ ANTI-SCALANT	SBS/ ALKALI	LIME MAKE-UP/ DOSING	RO CLEANING WATER DERVICES 2.1 1.4.5
UF CLEANING	FLUSHING PUMPS	OUTFALL/ NEUTRALISATION	AIR COMPRESSOR SYSTEM	SERVICE WATER
ELECTRICAL SUPPLIES	STANDARDS	PRESETS	TIMERS	NORMALISATION Operator
8 B H H H H H P 1	n 📾			
mBar mBar				
4900.0 1 5000.0 1	A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A	AND THE STATE OF THE WAY OF	L. Ke	the second s
3994.0 4864.0			Inter tion	Troin Stonnod
3776.0 4736.0 1			etclorium	
3656.0 4569.0			- S.	
3540.0 44440.0			and the second sec	
34220-42520				- Fillsned - Started
2100 0 2000 0			and a second	I Idolieu Dulleu
3500 0 3548 0				
2950.0 - 3700.0 -				
2832.0 - 3552.0 -				
2714.0 3404.0				and a second sec
2506.0 3256.0 -				
2678.0 - 3109.0 -				
2360.0 2950.0 -		the second se	and a second	
2242.0 - 2812.0 -	the second of the physical particular	Prove and the second se		
2124.0 - 2664.0 -	The second se	and the strate is a		
2006.0 - 2516.0 -				
1988.0 - 2368.0				
1770.0 4 22200				
16520 - 20720				
1000017200	and a state of the second s	And the second sec		
1210.0 1628.0 -	and the second s			
1180.0 1480.0				
1062.0 1332.0		and the second sec		
944.0 - 1154.0 -		and the second sec		
826.0 1836.0				
708.0 - 838.0 -				
590.0 - 740.0 -				
472.0 - 532.0 -				
354.0 444.6				
236.0 - 296.0	-			
0.0 0.0				
05/27/07 5:35:05:000 PM 5:36:05	000 PM 5:35:05:000 PM 5:	35:05.000 PM 5:35:05.000 PM	5/35/05.000 PM 5/35/05.000 PM 5/35/00	000 PM 5/35/05/000 PM 5/35/05/000 PM 5/35/05/000 PM
Statement of the local division of the local	and the second se	Not the second		

Trend	Tag Connection	Value	Date/Time
R0 Train A 1st Pass 1st Stage Dill, Pressure	SWR0_30K30_PDIT_001a/TRANSMITTER U	2344.843750	6/1/2007 10:27:08.805 PM
RO Train A 1st Pass 2nd Stage Dill, Pressure	SWR0_30\30_PDIT_002A/TRANSMITTER.U	1877.263794	6/1/2007 10:27:08:809 PM

Trouble-Shooting Guidelines

Possible	Possible	Pressure	Feed	Salt
Cause	Location	Drop	Pressure	Passage
Metal Oxide Fouling	1 st stage	Rapid	Rapid increase	Rapid
(e.g. Fe,Mn,Cu,Ni,Zn)	lead elements	increase		increase
Colloidal Fouling	1 st stage	Gradual	Gradual	Slight
(organic and/or	lead elements	increase	increase	increase
inorganic complexes)				
Mineral Scaling	Last stage	Moderate	Slight increase	Marked
(e.g. Ca, Mg, Ba, Sr)	tail elements	Increase		increase
Polymerized Silica	Last stage	Normal to	Increased	Normal to
	tail elements	increased		increased
Biological Fouling	Any stage,	Marked	Marked	Normal to
	usually lead	increase	increase	increased
	elements			
Organic Fouling	All stages	Gradual	Increased	Decreased
(dissolved NOM)		increase		
Antiscalant Fouling	2 nd stage most	Normal to	Increased	Normal to
	severe	increased		increased

Strategy to Minimize Membrane Fouling

 Colloidal Fouling Effective Fine Filtration (UF, MF preferred) Optimize Coagulation of colloidal particles Eliminate Filter Bypass 	 Biofouling No Continuous Chlorination Minimize Excess SBS Over- dosing Sanitize System as Needed Remove Bio-food source with effective coagulation/filtration Eliminate GAC filters
Scaling •Prevent oxidation of Fe ²⁺ •Exceeding saturation rarely an issue with 1st pass SWRO •Calculate correctly saturation in BWRO •Use proper AS	 Organic Adsorption Minimize the destabilization of the organics in the water Intake protected from free oil, free oil monitors

Cleaning Procedures

System Planned Regime Cleaning Sequences

Cleaning Procedure

Manual procedure with necessary interlocks System consists of:

- cleaning tank
 - Sizing for retention time ~ 4 minutes
 - Take in consideration losses in the system
 - Eliminate foaming
 - Eliminate suckback
- cleaning pump size with flow 10 12 m³/h/PV
- cleaning heater important to keep T as high as possible close to 40°C
- Cleaning once every 6 months

Cleaning regime depends on the type of fouling

Cleaning Process

RO Cleanup Skid

Cleaning Solution Volume Requirement per RO Element

(these volumes do not include volumes required for piping, filters, etc)

(these volumes do not include initial 20% of volume dumped to drain)

Element Size	Normal Fouling (Gallons)	Heavy Fouling (Gallons)	Normal Fouling (Liters)	Heavy Fouling (Liters)
4 x 40 inches	2.5	5	9.5	19
6 x 40 inches	5	10	19	38
8 x 40 inches	9	18	34	68
8.5 x 40 inches	10	20	38	76
NITTO DENK	0	55		www.membranes.

Cleaning System

Cleaning Process

Alkaline or acidic cleaning

Steps:

- Seawater flush
- Freshwater flush
- Chemical makeup
- Fluid Displacement
- Low flow / high flow recirculation
- Soak
- Drain

Cleaning Process - Important Points

- Compatibility of cleaning chemicals with membranes
- Correct cleaning temperature 35° 45°C
- Correct cleaning flows
- Do not exceed differential pressure
- Soak and recirculate 30 minutes : 30 minutes
- No water hammer or surges must occur!

Cleaning Procedure - Influencing Factors

Cleaning Procedure - Influencing Factors

Cleaning Solutions – Generic Chemicals

Cleaning	Bulk Ingredients	Quantity	Target	Target
Solution			pH Adjustment	Temp.
1	Citric acid	17.0 pounds	Adjust to pH 4.0 with	104 F (40 C)
	(as 100% powder)	(7.7 kg)	ammonium hydroxide.	
2	STPP	17.0 pounds	Adjust to pH 10.0 with	104 F (40 C)
	(sodium tripolyphosphate)	(7.7 kg)	sulfuric or hydrochloric	
	(as 100% powder)		acid.	
	Na-EDTA	7.0 pounds		
	(Versene 220 or equal)	(3.18 kg)		
	(as 100% powder)			
3	STPP	17 pounds	Adjust down to pH 10.0	104 F (40 C)
	(sodium tripolyphosphate)	(7.7 kg)	with sulfuric or	
	(as 100% powder)		hydrochloric acid.	
	Na-DDBS	2.13 pounds		
	Na-dodecylbenzene sulfonate	(0.97 kg)		

Cleaning Process Conditions

Hydranautics pH and Temperature Limits for Cleaning

(See Table 3 for target pH and temperatures)

Membrane	45 C (113 F)	35 C (95 F)	30 C (86 F)
СРА	2-10	2-12	2-12
ESPA	2-10	2-12	2-12
LFC	2-10	2-12	2-12
SWC	2-10	2-11	2-12
ESNA	3-10	2-12	2-12

Cleaning and Flushing Flow Rates per RO Pressure Tube

(Pressures are not to exceed 60 psi (4 bar) at inlet to tubes.)

Element Diameter	GPM	LPM
4-inches	6 to 10	23 to 38
6-inches	12 to 20	46 to 76
8-inches	24 to 40	91 to 151
8.5-inches	27 to 45	102 to 170

Conclusions

- Normalize Data at least daily
- Analyze your performance indicators to narrow down the type of fouling
- Remove elements from the system to analyze the membrane for exact foulant
- Follow membrane supplier and chemical supplier guidelines to prevent or alleviate fouling
- Clean membranes when normalized permeate flow drops 10-15% or if normalized pressure drop increases by 15-20% or normalized SP increases 10 – 15%

Preservation

- Preservation should be done if membrane part is stopped for longer than a week
- Typical preservation solution
 - 1% SBS
 - Proprietary chemicals
- Winter preservation solution
 - 1% SBS + 20% glycerine
- pH of solution must not drop bellow 3
- In case of short time preservation
 - ~1,000 mg/l of SBS is sufficient for 1.5 2 months
 - You must regularly analyze residual SBS it must not drop bellow 500 mg/l

THANK YOU

