

Citation for published version:

Jayawardhana, B, Ryan, EP & Teel, AR 2010, 'Bounded-energy-input convergent-state property of dissipative nonlinear systems: an iISS approach', *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 159-164. https://doi.org/10.1109/tac.2009.2033754

DOI:

10.1109/tac.2009.2033754

Publication date: 2010

Link to publication

Copyright © 2010 IEEE.

Reprinted from IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.

This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the

University of Bath's products or services. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policyIf you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 07 Mar 2023

Jayawardhana, B., Ryan, E. P., Teel, A. R., 2010. Bounded-energy-input convergent-state property of dissipative nonlinear systems: An iISS approach. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55 (1), pp. 159-164.

Official URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tac.2009.2033754

Copyright © 2010 IEEE.

Reprinted from IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.

This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the University of Bath?s products or services. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

Bounded-Energy-Input Convergent-State Property of Dissipative Nonlinear Systems: An iISS Approach

Bayu Jayawardhana, *Member, IEEE*, Eugene P. Ryan, and Andrew R. Teel, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—For a class of dissipative nonlinear systems, it is shown that an iISS gain can be computed directly from the corresponding supply function. The result is used to prove the convergence to zero of the state whenever the input signal has bounded energy, where the energy functional is determined by the supply function.

 ${\it Index\ Terms} \hbox{--} {\it Dissipative\ nonlinear\ systems, integral\ input-to-state\ stability.}$

I. INTRODUCTION

For a linear system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, with A Hurwitz, the following property is elementary: if x is a solution on $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ corresponding to an input $u \in L^p$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$ (an input of bounded energy), then $x(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. The question of nonlinear counterparts arises: to what extent (and for which measures of energy) does the bounded-energy-input/convergent-state (BEICS) property hold in the context of a finite-dimensional nonlinear system $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ under the 0-GAS hypothesis (that is, the assumption that 0 is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium of the associated autonomous system $\dot{x} =$ f(x,0)? On the one hand, even in the simplest of nonlinear systems satisfying the latter hypothesis, the BEICS property may fail to hold. In [16], Sontag and Krichman construct an example of a 0-GAS system of the form $\dot{x} = f_0(x) + u$ with the property that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integrable function, with L^1 norm $||u||_1 < \varepsilon$, such that the system admits an unbounded solution: subsequently, in [17], Teel and Hespanha provide an example of a system of similar structure, but with the stronger property of 0-GES (that is, 0 is a globally exponentially stable equilibrium of $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$) for which an exponentially decaying additive input u, arbitrarily small in L^p , can give rise to an unbounded solution. On the other hand, if $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$, with $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ locally Lipschitz and f(0,0) = 0, is integral input-to-state stable (iISS) (see, [14]), with associated iISS gain function γ (to be made precise in due course), then it is well known that the system is 0-GAS and has the BEICS property with respect to "integrable" (bounded-energy) inputs, provided that integrability is defined via the energy-like functional $u \mapsto \int_0^\infty \gamma(\|u(t)\| dt)$, in which case we say that the system has the γ -BEICS property. Theorem 1 in [2] (see, also, [1]) subsumes the following: if the system is (a) 0-GAS and (b) dissipative with supply function σ (in short, σ -dissipative) in the sense that there exist a proper, positive-definite C^1 function U of Lyapunov type and a class K function σ such that $\langle \nabla U(\xi), f(\xi, \nu) \rangle \leq \sigma(\|\nu\|)$ for all (ξ, ν) , then the

Manuscript received October 28, 2008; revised April 23, 2009, August 06, 2009, and September 15, 2009. First published November 24, 2009; current version published January 13, 2010. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant ECS-0622253 and AFOSR Grant FA9550-09-1-0203. Recommended by Associate Editor D. Angeli.

A. R. Teel is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9560 USA (e-mail: teel@ece.ucsb.edu).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TAC.2009.2033754

system is iISS. The crucial point to bear in mind here is that the latter result is non-constructive: the properties of 0-GAS and σ -dissipativity imply only the *existence* of some iISS gain function—the issue of constructing an iISS gain remains; the supply function σ is not in general an iISS gain function and so one cannot conclude that the system has the σ -BEICS property. The main contribution of the present technical note is to show that the following condition:

$$\forall \text{ compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ \exists c > 0: \ \|f(\xi, \nu)\| \le c \left(1 + \sigma\left(\|\nu\|\right)\right) \\ \forall (\xi, \nu) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

in conjunction with 0-GAS and σ -dissipativity, ensures that σ is an *i*ISS gain function and so the σ -BEICS property holds.

The computation of *i*ISS gain is pertinent to the stability analysis of interconnected systems which contain *i*ISS systems and to the robustness analysis of closed-loop systems. For example, the papers [1], [6] use the knowledge of *i*ISS gain in its subsystem(s) to conclude the stability property of the interconnected systems. Based on the precursor [9] to the present technical note, Wang and Weiss [18] use our main result for computing the *i*ISS gain in a robustness analysis of a controlled wind turbine.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We consider nonlinear systems, with input u, of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad x(0) = x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f(0, 0) = 0,$$

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ locally Lipschitz.} \quad (1)$$

Throughout, the space of inputs is taken to be $\mathcal{U}:=L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^m)$, that is, the space of measurable locally essentially bounded functions $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition 2.1: For $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, a solution of (1) is an absolutely continuous function $x : [0, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega > 0$, such that

$$x(t) - x(0) = \int_{0}^{t} f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) d\tau \quad \forall t \in [0, \omega).$$

A solution is *maximal* if it has no proper right extension that is also a solution. A solution is *global* it it exists on \mathbb{R}_+ .

The following is a consequence of the standard theory of ordinary differential equations (see, e.g. [13]).

Proposition 2.2: For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the initial-value problem (1) has unique maximal solution $x : [0, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

The set of continuous, strictly-increasing functions $\alpha:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$, with $\alpha(0)=0$, is denoted by $\mathcal K$ and $\mathcal K_\infty\subset\mathcal K$ is the set of unbounded functions in $\mathcal K$. The set $\mathcal K\mathcal L$ consists of all functions $\beta:\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\beta(\cdot,t)\in\mathcal K$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and, for all $s\in\mathbb{R}_+$, $\beta(s,\cdot)$ is decreasing and $\beta(s,t)\to 0$ as $t\to\infty$. A function $\alpha:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be *positive definite* if it is continuous, $\alpha(0)=0$ and $\alpha(s)>0$ for all s>0.

The concept of *integral input-to-state stability* (iISS), introduced in [14] and further developed in, *inter alia*, [2], [3] (the expository article [15] contains a particularly succinct survey), is central to the present technical note.

Definition 2.3: System (1) is said to be integral input-to-state stable (iISS) if there exist functions $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$, $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ (the latter will be referred to as an iISS gain) such that, for every $u^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$, the unique maximal solution x of (1) is global and

$$\alpha(\|x(t)\|) \le \beta(\|x^0\|, t) + \int_0^t \gamma(\|u(s)\|) ds \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$
 (2)

B. Jayawardhana is with the Discrete Technology & Production Automation, University of Groningen, Groningen 9747 AG, The Netherlands (e-mail: bayujw@ieee.org).

E. P. Ryan is with the Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, U.K. (e-mail: epr@maths.bath.ac.uk).

An immediate consequence of this definition is

(1) is
$$iISS \implies (1)$$
 is $0 - GAS$. (3)

Furthermore, if system (1) is *i*ISS with gain γ and we define an energy functional on \mathcal{U} by $u \mapsto \int_0^\infty \gamma(\|u(t)\|) \mathrm{d}t$, then (1) has the BEICS property. We record this fact in the next proposition (see [14, Proposition 6]).

Proposition 2.4: Assume (1) is iISS with iISS gain $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfy $\int_0^\infty \gamma(\|u(t)\|) \mathrm{d}t < \infty$. Then, for all $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the unique global solution x of (1) satisfies $x(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Definition 2.5: A continuously differentiable function $U: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is an iISS-Lyapunov function for system (1) if there exist functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}, \sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ and a continuous, positive-definite function α_3 such that the following hold:

$$\alpha_{1}(\|\xi\|) \leq U(\xi) \leq \alpha_{2}(\|\xi\|) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle \leq -\alpha_{3}(\|\xi\|) + \sigma(\|v\|)$$
(4)

$$\forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{5}$$

The concept of iISS admits the following elegant characterization [2]: system (1) is iISS if, and only if, it admits a *smooth* (that is, C^{∞})iISS-Lyapunov function. However, in our later analysis, we will not wish to impose C^{∞} smoothness on various functions arising therein. With this in mind and reiterating Remark II.3 of [2], existence of an iISS Lyapunov function is a *sufficient condition* for iISS (smoothness is not required): in particular, system (1) is iISS if it admits an iISS-Lyapunov function. We record this and related facts in Proposition 2.6 below, which we preface with some terminology.

With $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, we associate an energy functional

$$E_{\sigma}(u) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(\|u(t)\|) dt$$

and write $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma} := \{u \in \mathcal{U} | E_{\sigma}(u) < \infty\}$. System (1) is said to have the BEICS property with respect to the energy functional E_{σ} (for brevity, σ -BEICS) if, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\sigma}$ and $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the unique global solution x of (1) is such that $x(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.6: Assume that there exist a C^1 function $U: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}, \sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ and a continuous, positive-definite function α_3 such that (4) and (5) hold. Then

- (a) system (1) is *i*ISS with *i*ISS gain $\gamma = \sigma$;
- (b) system (1) has the σ -BEICS property.

Proof: The proof of Assertion (a) is implicit in the proof of [2, Theorem 1]; the conjunction of Assertion (a) and Proposition 2.4 gives Assertion (b).

Our study now focusses on the case wherein (5) is replaced by the weaker assumption

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle \le \sigma(\|v\|) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (6)

To distinguish this case, we adopt some further terminology.

If there exist a C^1 function $U: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ such that (4) and (6) hold, then we say that (1) is *dissipative*: we refer to σ as the *supply function* σ and (6) is said to be the associated *dissipation inequality*.

Theorem 1 of [2] and [1, Lemma 1] subsume the following.

Proposition 2.7: If (1) is 0-GAS and dissipative (with supply function σ), then (1) is *i*ISS.

In contrast with Assertion (a) of Proposition 2.6, the supply function σ associated with the hypothesis of dissipativity in Proposition 2.7 is not, in general, an *i*ISS gain γ for (1). So one cannot conclude that (1) has the σ -BEICS property; however, an inspection of the proofs of [2,

Theorem 1, Proposition II.5, Lemma IV.10] reveals that σ is indeed an *i*ISS gain if the function f in (1) is such that the following holds:

$$\exists c > 0: \quad ||f(0, v)|| \le c\sigma(||v||) \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{7}$$

We summarise this situation as follows.

Proposition 2.8: Assume that (1) is 0-GAS and dissipative with supply function $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume further that f and σ are such that (7) holds. Then (1) is iISS with iISS gain $\gamma = \sigma$ and has the σ -BEICS property.

The condition (7) can be restrictive. For example, consider the case where the system (1) is *affine in the control*, that is, for some locally Lipschitz functions $f_0: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ (with $f_0(0) = 0$) and $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f(\xi, v) = f_0(\xi) + g(\xi)v \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (8)

Assume that $g(0) \neq 0$ and that (1) is 0-GAS and dissipative with supply function $\sigma: s \mapsto s^p$ for some $p \geq 1$. Then (7) holds if, and only if, p=1. In particular, if p>1, then we cannot conclude, via Proposition 2.8, that inputs $u \in L^p$ generate state solutions converging to zero.

III. MAIN RESULT

In the affine-in-the-control system example above with p>1, an application of Young's inequality yields the existence of a positive constant $c_1>0$ such that $\|f(0,v)\|=\|g(0)v\|\leq\|g(0)\|\|v\|\leq c_1(1+\|v\|^p)$ for all $v\in\mathbb{R}^m$. The main contribution of the technical note is to extrapolate this condition and identify a condition on f under which σ is an iISS gain for (1) which, together with Proposition 2.4, ensures the σ -BEICS property: this we do in Theorem 3.1 below. In the context of the above affine-in-the-control system, our main result implies that, for all $p\geq 1$, if the system is 0-GAS and dissipative with supply function $\sigma: s\mapsto s^p$, then inputs $u\in L^p$ do indeed generate state solutions converging to zero (see Corollary 3.6).

Theorem 3.1: Assume that (1) is 0-GAS and dissipative with supply function $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume further that f and σ are such that the following holds.

(A) For each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists c > 0 such that

$$||f(\xi, v)|| \le c \left(1 + \sigma\left(||v||\right)\right) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{9}$$

Then (1) is *i*ISS with *i*ISS gain $\gamma = \sigma$ and has the σ -BEICS property.

We preface the proof of Theorem 3.1 with three technical lemmas, wherein \mathbf{B}_r denotes the closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius r > 0 and centred at 0.

Lemma 3.2: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous. Then, for each compact set K, there exists a function $\rho_K \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ such that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \rho_K (||v||) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (10)

Proof: Let $K\subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact and define $\tilde{\rho}_K:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ by $\tilde{\rho}_K(0):=0$ and

$$\tilde{\rho}_K(a) := \max \{ \| f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0) \| | \xi \in K, v \in \mathbf{B}_a \} \quad \forall a > 0.$$

By the continuity of f, the function $\tilde{\rho}_K$ is continuous at zero. Clearly, $\tilde{\rho}_K$ is non-decreasing and so, *a fortiori*, is measurable (in fact, it can be shown that $\tilde{\rho}_K$ is upper semicontinuous). Therefore, the function $\rho_K: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is well defined by

$$\rho_K(0) := 0, \quad \rho_K(a) := a + \frac{1}{a} \int_a^{2a} \tilde{\rho}_K(\tau) d\tau \quad \forall a > 0.$$

It is readily verified that $\rho_K \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$. Moreover, $\rho_K(a) \geq \tilde{\rho}_K(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and so (10) holds.

Lemma 3.3: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous and $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume that (A) holds. Let $w: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous and such that, for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$

$$\alpha(\|\xi\|) \le w(\xi) \quad \forall \, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{11}$$

Then, for every continuous function $\theta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$, there exist a continuous function $\delta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ such that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \theta \left(w(\xi) \right) + \delta \left(w(\xi) \right) \sigma \left(||v|| \right),$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (12)$$

Proof: By continuity of f and (\mathbf{A}) , it can be verified that, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $c_K > 0$ such that

$$\|f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)\| \le c_K \left(1 + \sigma\left(\|v\|\right)\right) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

This implies the existence of a strictly increasing sequence (c_k) in $\mathbb N$ such that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le c_k (1 + \sigma(||v||)) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbf{B}_k \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Let $b:[0,\infty)\to (0,\infty)$ be the continuous function that linearly interpolates the points c_k , $k\in\mathbb{N}$, that is

$$b(\lambda) := c_k + (c_{k+1} - c_k)(\lambda + 1 - k) \quad \forall \lambda \in [k-1, k) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, for all $(\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le b(||\xi||) (1 + \sigma(||v||)). \tag{13}$$

By Lemma 3.2, there exists $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ such that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \rho_1(||v||) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (14)

Let $\theta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ be continuous. Denote by $\chi_1\in\mathcal{K}_\infty$ the inverse of the function $\rho_1\in\mathcal{K}_\infty$ and write $\tilde{b}=b\circ\alpha^{-1}$. Define the continuous function $\delta_1:(0,1]\to(0,\infty)$ by

$$\delta_1(a) := \tilde{b}(a) + \frac{\left\|\tilde{b}(a) - \theta(a)\right\|}{\sigma\left(\chi_1\left(\theta(a)\right)\right)} \quad \forall a \in (0, 1].$$

If $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\|v\| \le \chi_1(\theta(w(\xi)))$ then $\rho_1(\|v\|) \le \theta(w(\xi))$ and so, by(14)

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \theta(w(\xi)) \le \theta(w(\xi)) + \delta_1(w(\xi)) \sigma(||v||).$$

If $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\|v\| > \chi_1(\theta(w(\xi)))$ then, by (11) and (13)

$$\begin{split} \|f(\xi,v) - f(\xi,0)\| &\leq b\left(\|\xi\|\right) \left(1 + \sigma\left(\|v\|\right)\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{b}\left(w(\xi)\right) \left(1 + \sigma\left(\|v\|\right)\right) \\ &\leq \theta\left(w(\xi)\right) + \left\|\tilde{b}\left(w(\xi)\right) - \theta\left(w(\xi)\right)\right\| \\ &+ \tilde{b}\left(w(\xi)\right) \sigma\left(\|v\|\right) \\ &\leq \theta\left(w(\xi)\right) + \delta_{1}\left(w(\xi)\right) \sigma\left(\|v\|\right). \end{split}$$

This establishes that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \theta \left(w(\xi) \right) + \delta_1 \left(w(\xi) \right) \sigma \left(||v|| \right)$$
$$\forall (\xi, v) \in \left(\mathbf{B}_1 \setminus \{0\} \right) \times \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (15)$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, let C_k denote the compact set

$$C_k := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n | 1 \le w(\xi) \le k \}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, for each $k \geq 2$, there exists $\rho_k \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ such that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \rho_k(||v||) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in C_k \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

For every $k \geq 2$, let $\chi_k \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ denote the inverse of $\rho_k \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ and define the continuous function $\delta_k : [1,k] \to (0,\infty)$ by

$$\delta_n(a) := \tilde{b}(a) + \frac{\left\|\tilde{b}(a) - \theta(a)\right\|}{\sigma\left(\chi_k\left(\theta(a)\right)\right)}.$$

Then an argument analogous to that leading to (15) gives

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \theta \left(w(\xi) \right) + \delta_k \left(w(\xi) \right) \sigma \left(||v|| \right)$$

$$\forall (\xi, v) \in C_k \times \mathbb{R}^m, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$
 (16)

Now, define

$$\delta_1^* := \delta_1(1), \ \delta_k^* := \max \left\{ \max_{a \in [1,k]} \delta_k(a), \delta_{k-1}^* \right\}, \ k = 2, 3, \dots$$

The sequence $(\delta_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ so constructed is non-decreasing. Finally, define the function $\delta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ as follows:

$$\delta(a) \!:= \! \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta_1(a) \!+\! \delta_2^* \!-\! \delta_1^*, & a \!\in\! (0,1] \\ \delta_{k+1}^* \!+\! (\delta_{k+2}^* \!-\! \delta_{k+1}^*) \, (a\!-\!k), & a \!\in\! (k,k+1], k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right.$$

The function δ is continuous, with the properties

$$\delta(a) \ge \delta_1 \quad \forall a \in (0,1], \text{ and}$$

 $\delta(a) > \delta_k(a) \quad \forall a \in [1,k], k = 2,3,\dots$

In view of (15) and (16), it follows that (12) holds.

Lemma 3.4: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be locally Lipschitz with f(0,0)=0 and $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume (A) holds and (1) is 0-GAS. For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a continuous positive-definite function $\alpha: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and a C^1 function $W: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that W(0)=0, W(x)>0 for $x\neq 0$ and, for all $(\xi,v)\in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\langle W(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle \le -\alpha (\|\xi\|) + \varepsilon \sigma (\|v\|).$$
 (17)

Remark 3.5: The function W in Lemma 3.4 is not necessarily proper; that is, its sublevel sets are not necessarily compact.

Proof: The 0-GAS property implies that there exist a smooth $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $\nabla V(0) = 0$ and functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha_1(\|\xi\|) \le V(\xi) \le \alpha_2(\|\xi\|)}{\langle \nabla V(\xi), f(\xi, 0) \rangle \le -\alpha_3(\|\xi\|)} \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(18)

(see, for example, [10]). Define $\tilde{\alpha}_4: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_4(a) = \max \{ \|\nabla V(\xi)\| | \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, V(\xi) \le a \} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

By the continuity of ∇V , the function $\tilde{\alpha}_4$ is continuous at zero. The function $\tilde{\alpha}_4$ is non-decreasing and so we may define a continuous function $\alpha_4:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\alpha_4(0) = 0, \quad \alpha_4(a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_a^{2a} \tilde{\alpha}_4(\tau) d\tau \quad \forall a > 0.$$

Moreover, α_4 is non-decreasing with $\alpha_4(a) \geq \tilde{\alpha}_4(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\|\nabla V(\xi)\| \leq \alpha_4(V(\xi))$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Now define the continuous function $\theta: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ by

$$\theta(a) = \min \left\{ a, \frac{\alpha_3 \left(\alpha_2^{-1}(a)\right)}{2\alpha_4(a)} \right\} \quad \forall a \in (0, \infty)$$

in which case, we have

$$\|\nabla V(\xi)\| \theta\left(V(\xi)\right) \le \alpha_4 \left(V(\xi)\right) \theta\left(V(\xi)\right) \le \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \left(\alpha_2^{-1} \left(V(\xi)\right)\right)$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \left(\|\xi\|\right) \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{19}$$

By Lemma 3.3, there exists a continuous function $\delta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ such that, for all $(\xi,v)\in(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})\times\mathbb{R}^m$

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le \theta(V(\xi)) + \delta(V(\xi)) \sigma(||v||).$$
 (20)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define a continuous function $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\kappa(0) = 0, \quad \kappa(a) = \min\left\{a, \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha_4(a)\delta(a)}\right\} \quad \forall a \in (0, \infty).$$

It follows that, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$

$$\kappa\left(V(\xi)\right)\left\|\nabla V(\xi)\right\|\delta\left(V(\xi)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon\left\|\nabla V(\xi)\right\|\delta\left(V(\xi)\right)}{\alpha_{4}\left(V(\xi)\right)\delta\left(V(\xi)\right)} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{21}$$

Define the function $W:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$ by $W(\xi):=\int_0^{V(\xi)}\kappa(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau,$ which is C^1 . Since $V(0)=0,\nabla V(0)=0$ and $\kappa(0)=0$, it follows that W(0)=0 and $\nabla W(0)=0$. Since κ and V are positive definite, it follows that $W(\xi)>0$ for all $\xi\neq 0$.

Invoking (18), (19), (20) and (21), we have

$$\langle \nabla W(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle$$

$$= \kappa \left(V(\xi) \right) \langle \nabla V(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle$$

$$\leq \kappa \left(V(\xi) \right) \| \nabla V(\xi) \| \| f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0) \|$$

$$- \kappa \left(V(\xi) \right) \alpha_3 \left(\| \xi \| \right)$$

$$\leq \kappa \left(V(\xi) \right) \| \nabla V(\xi) \| \left[\theta \left(V(\xi) \right) + \delta \left(V(\xi) \right) \sigma \left(\| v \| \right) \right]$$

$$- \kappa \left(V(\xi) \right) \alpha_3 \left(\| \xi \| \right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(V(\xi) \right) \alpha_3 \left(\| \xi \| \right) + \varepsilon \sigma \left(\| v \| \right)$$
(22)

for all $(\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

Since $\langle \nabla W(0), f(0, v) \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$, (22) holds for all $(\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. The proof is completed by setting

$$\alpha(a) := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \kappa \left(V(\eta) \right) \alpha_3 \left(\left\| \eta \right\| \right) | \left\| \eta \right\| \leq a, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1: By dissipativity of (1), there exist a C^1 function $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{1}(\|\xi\|) \leq V(\xi) \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{2}(\|\xi\|) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
\langle \nabla V(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle \leq \sigma(\|v\|) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}.$$
(23)

By Lemma 3.4, there exists a continuous positive-definite function $\alpha: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that W(0) = 0, W(x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$ and (17) holds.

Define the C^1 function $U: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by $U(\xi) = (1/2)(V(\xi) + W(\xi))$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Setting $\alpha_3 = \alpha/2$ and invoking (17) together with the second of inequalities (23), we conclude that (5) holds. For each $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$, define $\alpha_4(a) := \min\{W(\xi) | \|\xi\| \le a\}$ and $\alpha_5(a) :=$

 $\max\{W(\xi)| \|\xi\| \le a\}$. Then $\alpha_1 := (\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \alpha_4)/2$ and $\alpha_2 := (\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \alpha_5)/2$ are \mathcal{K}_{∞} functions satisfying (4). An application of Proposition 2.6 completes the proof.

Recalling Proposition 2.8 and the discussion in the paragraph thereafter, the following fact is known: if the system (1) is affine in the control, 0-GAS and dissipative with supply function $\sigma: s \mapsto s$, then (1) is iISS and has the BEICS property with respect to the L^1 energy functional $u \mapsto \int_0^\infty \|u(t)\| \mathrm{d}t$. The following corollary extends this result and, as a special case, establishes the BEICS property with respect to the L^p energy functional for all 1 .

Corollary 3.6: Assume that system (1) is affine in the control, 0-GAS and dissipative with supply function $\sigma: s \mapsto \int_0^s \vartheta(z) \mathrm{d}z$ for some $\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}$. Then (1) is iISS with iISS gain $\gamma = \sigma$ and has the σ -BEICS property.

Proof: In view of Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that property (A) holds. Since (1) is affine in the control, there exist locally Lipschitz $f_0:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, with $f_0(0)=0$, and $g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$ such that (8) holds. Let the compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary. Then there exists $c_0>0$ such that $\|f_0(\xi)\|\leq c_0$ and $\|g(\xi)\|\leq c_0$ for all $\xi\in K$. Therefore, $\|f(\xi,v)\|\leq c_0(1+\|v\|)$ for all $(\xi,v)\in K\times\mathbb{R}^m$.

Let $c_1 > 0$. Then $||f(\xi, v)|| \le c_0(1 + c_1)$ for all $(\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbf{B}_{c_1}$. On the other hand, if $||v|| > c_1$, we have

$$||v|| \le c_1 + \frac{1}{\vartheta(c_1)} \int_{c_1}^{||v||} \vartheta(z) dz$$
$$\le c_1 + \frac{1}{\vartheta(c_1)} \sigma(||v||).$$

Thus, using $c = c_0(1 + c_1 + 1/\vartheta(c_1))$

$$||f(\xi, v)|| \le c (1 + \sigma(||v||)) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

This completes the proof.

Example 3.7: Consider system (1) with

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2, (\xi, \nu) = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \nu) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -\xi_2 \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2^3 + \xi_2 \nu \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $U: \xi \mapsto 2\|\xi\|^2$, we have

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f(\xi, \nu) \rangle = -4 \xi_2^4 + 4 \xi_2^2 \nu \leq \nu^2 \quad \forall (\xi, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, the system is dissipative with supply function $\sigma: s \mapsto s^2$.

Moreover, an application of LaSalle's invariance principle confirms that the system is 0-GAS. By Corollary 3.6, it follows that the system is iISS with iISS gain $\gamma=\sigma$ and has the BEICS property with respect to the L^2 energy functional $u\mapsto \int_0^\infty u^2(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$. We remark that it is not clear if one can invoke Proposition 2.7 to arrive at the same conclusion.

Next, we highlight further consequences of Theorem 3.1.

IV. WEAKLY ZERO-DETECTABLE SYSTEMS

Here, we investigate a situation which, in essence, is intermediate between satisfaction of the iISS inequality (5) and the dissipation inequality (6) (see (24) below).

Let $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^l$ be continuous, with h(0) = 0. As in [2], system (1) is said to be *weakly zero-detectable with respect to h* if the following holds: if x is a global solution of $\dot{x} = f(x,0)$ with the property that h(x(t)) = 0 for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then $\lim_{x \to \infty} x(t) = 0$.

Corollary 4.1: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be locally Lipschitz and $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^l$ continuous, with f(0,0) = 0 = h(0). Assume that there exist functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, a continuous positive-definite

function α and a C^1 function $U:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$ such that (4) holds and, for all $(\xi,v)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m$

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle \le -\alpha \left(\|h(\xi)\| \right) + \sigma \left(\|v\| \right). \tag{24}$$

Assume further that f and σ satisfy (A) and that (1) is weakly zero-detectable with respect to h. Then (1) is iISS with iISS gain $\gamma = \sigma$ and has the σ -BEICS property.

Proof: In view of Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that (1) is 0-GAS. From (4) and (24), we may infer that the zero state is a stable equilibrium of $\dot{x} = f(x,0)$ and so, for each x^0 , the unique maximal solution x of the initial-value problem is global. It remains to show that the zero state is a globally attractive equilibrium of $\dot{x} = f(x,0)$: this is a consequence of (24) in conjunction with weak zero-detectability hypothesis and the LaSalle invariance principle [11].

The next result identifies a situation in which one may conclude the *i*ISS and BEICS properties without positing dissipativity *a priori*.

Corollary 4.2: Assume that system (1) is affine in the control, that is, for some locally Lipschitz functions $f_0:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ and $g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ and $g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, (8) holds. Let $\vartheta\in\mathcal{K}_\infty$, and define $\sigma\in\mathcal{K}_\infty$ and $\psi\in\mathcal{K}_\infty$ by $\sigma(s):=\int_0^s \vartheta(z)\mathrm{d}z$ and $\psi(s):=\int_0^s \vartheta^{-1}(z)\mathrm{d}z$. Assume that there exist functions $\alpha_1,\alpha_2\in\mathcal{K}_\infty$ and a C^1 function $U:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$ such that (4) holds and

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle + \psi(\|h(\xi)\|) \le 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (25)

where $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by $h(\xi) = (\nabla U(\xi))^T g(\xi)$. Assume further that (1) is weakly zero-detectable with respect to h. Then, system (1) is iISS with iISS gain $\gamma = \sigma$ and has the BEICS property with respect to the energy functional E_{σ} .

Proof: By the argument (*mutatis mutandis*) used in the proof of Corollary 4.1, it follows, via (4), (25) and the weak zero-detectability hypothesis, that (1) is 0-GAS. To see that (1) is dissipative with supply function $\sigma = \gamma$, note that

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f(\xi, v) \rangle = \langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle + \langle \nabla U(\xi), g(\xi) v \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle + ||h(\xi)|| ||v||$$

$$\leq \langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle + \psi (||h(\xi)||) + \sigma (||v||)$$

$$\leq \sigma (||v||) \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

wherein generalized Young's inequality is used to obtain the second inequality and (25) ensures the last inequality. Therefore, (1) is dissipative with storage function σ . Invoking Corollary 3.6, the result follows.

Example 4.3: Consider again the system in Example 3.7, with $f(\xi,\nu)=f_0(\xi)+g(\xi)\nu$ and

$$f_0: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -\xi_2 \\ \xi_1 - \xi_2^3 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $U: \xi \mapsto 2\|\xi\|^2$ and $h: (\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi \mapsto \langle \nabla U(\xi), g(\xi) \rangle = 4\xi_2^2$. Then it is evident that the system is weakly zero-detectable with respect to h. Moreover

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle = -4\xi_2^4 = -\left| h(\xi) \right|^2 / 4 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and so (25) holds with $\psi: s \mapsto s^2/4$.

Invoking Corollary 4.2, we arrive at the same conclusion as in Example 3.7: the system is *i*ISS with *i*ISS gain $\sigma: s \mapsto s^2$ and has the σ -BEICS property

The final result establishes that, if (8) holds with bounded g and globally Lipschitz f_0 and 0 is a globally exponentially stable stable equilibrium of $\dot{x}=f_0(x)$, then, for each $p\in(1,\infty)$, the system has the BEICS property with respect to the L^p energy functional $u\mapsto\int_0^\infty\|u(t)\|^p\mathrm{d}t$.

Corollary 4.4: Let system (1) be affine in the control, that is, for some functions $f_0: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, (8) holds. Assume further that f_0 is globally Lipschitz, g is locally Lipschitz and bounded, and the system is 0-GES (that is, 0 is a globally exponentially stable equilibrium of the system $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$). Then, for each $p \in (1, \infty)$, (1) is iISS with iISS gain $\sigma: s \mapsto s^p$ and has the σ -BEICS property.

Proof: By the global Lipschitz property of f_0 and global exponential stability of $\dot{x} = f_0(x)$, there exist a C^1 function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and positive constants $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 > 0$ such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 \|\xi\|^2 \le V(\xi) \le a_2 \|\xi\|^2, \\ \langle \nabla V(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle \le -a_3 V(\xi) \\ \|\nabla V(\xi)\| \le a_4 \sqrt{V(\xi)} \end{array} \right\} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

(see, for example, [4]). Invoking boundedness of g, we may infer the existence of $a_5 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\nabla V(\xi) \right)^T g(\xi) \right\| \le a_5 \sqrt{V(\xi)} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ be arbitrary and define $a_6 := a_3^{p-1}/a_5^p$. Now define the function $U : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by $U(\xi) := (2a_6/p)(V(\xi))^{p/2}$ in which case, (4) holds with

$$\alpha_1: s \mapsto \left(\frac{2a_6a_1^{p/2}}{p}\right)s^p, \quad \alpha_2: s \mapsto \left(\frac{2a_6a_2^{p/2}}{p}\right)s^p.$$

The function U is C^1 with

$$\nabla U(0) = 0,$$

$$\nabla U(\xi) = a_6 (V(\xi))^{(p-2)/2} \nabla V(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \neq 0,$$

$$\|\nabla U(\xi)\| \le a_4 a_6 (V(\xi))^{(p-1)/2} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle \le -a_3 a_6 \left(V(\xi) \right)^{p/2} = -\left(\frac{a_3 \sqrt{V(\xi)}}{a_5} \right)^p$$

and, on defining $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ by $h(\xi) := (\nabla U(\xi))^T g(\xi)$

$$||h(\xi)|| \le a_5 a_6 (V(\xi))^{(p-1)/2} = \left(\frac{a_3 \sqrt{V(\xi)}}{a_5}\right)^{p-1} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\langle \nabla U(\xi), f_0(\xi) \rangle + \|h(\xi)\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \le 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Defining $\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ by $\vartheta(s) := ps^{p-1}$, the functions σ and ψ in Corollary 4.2 are $\sigma: s \mapsto s^p$ and $\psi: s \mapsto (1/p)^{p/(p-1)}(p-1)s^{p/(p-1)}$. Since p > 1, $\psi(\|h(\xi)\|) \le \|h(\xi)\|^{p/(p-1)}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that (25) holds.

Noting that the 0-GES property trivially implies weak zero-detectability with respect to h, an application of Corollary 4.2 establishes the *i*ISS property with *i*ISS gain $\sigma: s \mapsto s^p$.

V. DISCUSSION

In view of recent results on L^p -input state-convergence, we conclude with some remarks on the various assumptions on [5], f that are used in [7], [8], [12], in relation to (**A**).

In [7], [8], using arguments based on infinite-dimensional systems theory, it is shown that if (1) is 0-GAS and satisfies (24) with $\alpha = \sigma$: $s \mapsto s^p$ then (1) has the BEICS property with respect to $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma} = L^p$ inputs, provided that f satisfies:

(A1) For each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that, for all $\xi, \eta \in K, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\eta, v)|| \le (c_1 + c_2 ||v||^p) ||\xi - \eta||.$$
 (26)

(A2) For each fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exist $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$||f(\eta, v)|| \le c_3 + c_4 ||v||^p \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (27)

This result is subsumed by Corollary 4.1 since (A1) and (A2) imply (A). Indeed, let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact and fix $\eta \in K$. Using Assumptions (A1) and (A2), there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that, for all $(\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m$

$$||f(\xi, v)|| \le ||f(\xi, v) - f(\eta, v)|| + ||f(\eta, v)||$$

$$\le (c_1 + c_2||v||^p) ||\xi - \eta|| + (c_3 + c_4||v||^p)$$

whence (A). On the other hand, it is clear that (A) does not imply (A1) and (A2).

Interpreted in the restricted context of systems of form (1), in [12] the assumption imposed on f takes the form:

(A3) For each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists k > 0 such that

$$||f(\xi, v) - f(\xi, 0)|| \le k||v|| \quad \forall (\xi, v) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (28)

Under this assumption on f and imposing the 0-GAS hypothesis, the following is implicit in the main result of [12]: if $u \in L^p$, $1 \le p < \infty$ and the unique maximal solution x of (1) is global with non-empty ω -limit set, then $x(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ (we remark that the latter assumption of non-emptiness of the ω -limit set does not hold in the case of the counter-example constructed in [17]). Clearly, (A3) is more restrictive than (A): it is readily verified that (A3) implies (A) (with $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$) and it is clear that (A) does not imply (A3). However, it is difficult to make direct comparisons between the main result of the present technical note (Theorem 3.1) and that of [12] because dissipativity of (1) is not posited in the latter.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arcak, D. Angeli, and E. D. Sontag, "A unifying integral ISS framework for stability of nonlinear cascades," *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, vol. 40, pp. 1888–1904, 2002.
- [2] D. Angeli, E. D. Sontag, and Y. Wang, "A characterization of integral input to state stability," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1082–1097, Jun. 2000.
- [3] D. Angeli, B. Ingalls, E. D. Sontag, and Y. Wang, "Separation principles for input-output and integral-input-to-state stability," *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, vol. 43, pp. 256–276, 2004.
- [4] W. Hahn, Stability of Motion. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1967
- [5] A. Isidori, Nonlinear Control Systems II. London, U.K.: Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [6] H. Ito and Z.-P. Jiang, "Nonlinear small-gain condition covering iISS systems: Necessity and sufficiency from a Lyapunov perspective," in *Proc. 45th IEEE CDC*, San Diego, CA, 2006, pp. 355–360.
- [7] B. Jayawardhana, "Remarks on the state convergence of nonlinear systems given any L^p input," in *Proc. 45th IEEE CDC*, San Diego, CA, 2006, pp. 995–1000.

- [8] B. Jayawardhana, G. Weiss, B. Jayawardhana, and G. Weiss, "State convergence of passive nonlinear systems with an L² input," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 1723–1727, Jul. 2009.
- [9] B. Jayawardhana, A. R. Teel, and E. P. Ryan, "iISS gain of dissipative systems," in *Proc. 46th IEEE CDC*, New Orleans, LA, 2007, pp. 3835–3840.
- [10] J. Kurzweil, "On the inversion of Lyapunov's second theorem on stability of motion," *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, ser. 2, vol. 24, pp. 19–77, 1956.
- [11] J. P. La Salle, The Stability of Dynamical Systems. Philadelphia, PA: SIAM, 1976.
- [12] E. P. Ryan, "Remarks on the L^p-input converging-state property," IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 1051–1054, Jul. 2005.
- [13] E. D. Sontag, Mathematical Control Theory, 2nd ed. New York: Springer, 1998.
- [14] E. D. Sontag, "Comments on integral variants of ISS," Syst. Control Lett., vol. 34, pp. 93–100, May 1998.
- [15] E. D. Sontag, "Input to state stability: Basic concepts and results," in Nonlinear and Optimal Control Theory, P. Nistri and G. Stefani, Eds. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2006, pp. 163–220.
- [16] E. D. Sontag and M. Krichman, "An example of a GAS system which can be destabilized by an integrable perturbation," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1046–1049, Jun. 2003.
- [17] A. R. Teel and J. Hespanha, "Examples of GES systems that can be driven to infinity by arbitrarily small additive decaying exponentials," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 1407–1410, Aug. 2004.
- [18] C. Wang and G. Weiss, "The iISS property for globally asymptotically stable and passive nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 1947–1951, Sep. 2008.

An ODE Comparison Theorem With Application in the Optimal Exit Time Control Problem

Wei Zhang and Jianghai Hu, Member, IEEE

Abstract—The optimal exit time control (OETC) problem tries to find the feedback control law with a reasonable cost that can keep the system state inside a certain subset of the state space, called the safe set, for the longest time under random perturbations. The symmetry property of its solutions has been proved previously when the state dimension is higher than one. In this note, a comparison theorem is established that compares the solutions to two ODEs arising in the 1-D OETC problem. The symmetry of solutions to the 1-D OETC problem is proved using this comparison theorem. An example is presented to show how the symmetry result can help to solve the OETC problem analytically in certain cases.

 ${\it Index\ Terms}$ —Differential equations, stochastic optimal control, uncertain systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Safety is a critical issue in many engineering problems, such as intelligent transportation systems [1], [2], control of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) [3], chemical processes, etc. In these problems, the system state is typically required to stay inside a certain subset of the state space called the *safe set*. Whenever the state exits from the safe set, costly procedures need to be invoked to bring the system back to

Manuscript received November 02, 2008; revised May 14, 2009. First published November 24, 2009; current version published January 13, 2010. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant CNS-0643805. Recommended by Associate Editor M. Prandini.

The authors are with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47906 USA (e-mail: zhang70@purdue.edu; jianghai@purdue.edu).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this technical note are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TAC.2009.2033758