

Citation for published version:

Ansini, N, Braides, A & Zimmer, J 2019, 'Minimizing movements for oscillating energies: The critical regime', *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 719-737.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2018.46>

DOI:

[10.1017/prm.2018.46](https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2018.46)

Publication date:

2019

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights

Unspecified

This article has been published in *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*
<https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2018.46>. This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © copyright holder.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Minimising movements for oscillating energies: the critical regime

Nadia Ansini¹, Andrea Braides², and Johannes Zimmer³

¹Dept. of Mathematics, Sapienza University of Rome, P.le Aldo
Moro 2, 00185 Rome, Italy

²Dept. of Mathematics, University of Rome ‘Tor Vergata’, Via della
Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Rome, Italy

³Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2
7AY, UK

Abstract

Minimising movements are investigated for an energy which is the superposition of a convex functional and fast small oscillations. Thus a minimising movement scheme involves a temporal parameter τ and a spatial parameter ε , with τ describing the time step and the frequency of the oscillations being proportional to $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. The extreme cases of fast time scales $\tau \ll \varepsilon$ and slow time scales $\varepsilon \ll \tau$ have been investigated in [4]. In this article, the intermediate (critical) case of finite ratio $\varepsilon/\tau > 0$ is studied. It is shown that a pinning threshold exists, with initial data below the threshold being a fixed point of the dynamics. A characterisation of the pinning threshold is given. For initial data above the pinning threshold, the equation and velocity describing the homogenised motion are determined.

AMS Subject classification: 35B27 (49K40, 49J10 49J45)

Keywords: Gradient flow, wiggly energy, Γ -convergence, minimising movements

1 Introduction

In this paper we analyse a minimising-movement approach for gradient flows with wiggly energies,

$$x'(t) = -\mu \frac{\partial E_\varepsilon(x(t))}{\partial x}. \quad (1)$$

A prototypical model of the energy is an oscillating perturbation of a quadratic energy,

$$E_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \varepsilon \cos\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (2)$$

This mathematical problem can be motivated by the analysis of interface motion in materials science. There is a range of problems where interfaces form in a specimen and propagate so that a material particle crossing the interface changes its stability, by transforming from an unstable or metastable state to a more stable one; see, e.g., [2]. Often this evolution is load-driven, in the sense that an applied load enables a particle to explore states of lower energy. Let us consider an interface, say between twin boundaries or phase boundaries, macroscopically propagating with some velocity v . However, microscopically the interface typically does not move homogeneously. Instead, the interface tends to propagate forward as a whole by a series of incremental steps. To illustrate this, let us picture an interface consisting of a straight horizontal line segment, then a step up, followed by another horizontal line segment, moving up towards a more stable state. Then it is normally advantageous for the interface to propagate the step sideways, i.e., move upward the particle next to the step, and then move the remaining particles consecutively. This leads to a multi-welled energy landscape, with local minima spaced periodically with high frequency. One model for the propagation of an interface in this manner is the Frenkel-Kontorova chain with forcing, where the motion of atom n is [2]

$$mu_n''(t) = k(u_{n+1}(t) - 2u_n(t) + u_{n-1}(t)) - W'(u_n(t)) + f(n, t),$$

or the continuum version

$$mu''(x, t) = ku_{xx}(x, t) - W'(u(x, t)) + f(x, t).$$

The model considered here can be interpreted as an unforced ($f = 0$) case, where the kinetics is replaced by a simpler (gradient flow) dynamics.

We remark that the same equation appears in a related but different context in Materials Science, again originating from transition layers. Martensitic materials can form needles of phases with pronounced tips (see, e.g., photographs in [1]). During creep tests, it is observed that the volume fraction of the phase fractions involved changes rather abruptly, and it is shown that this sudden change can be attributed to a sudden split of a tip into two tips [1]. One can picture this as a lenticular domain of one variant trying to grow; this growth then occurs where the tip of the lens meets a boundary between twins, and fattening of the phase happens via splitting of the tip in two and more tips. The splitting of a needle can then be attributed to a metastable transition, moving from one local minimum to another one. This suggests a small-scale landscape with many minima, and the energy studied by Abeyaratne, Chu and James [1] is a macroscopic energy augmented by small-scale oscillations $a\varepsilon \cos(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, as studied here. In addition, the kinetic law in [1] is taken to be a gradient flow. Specifically, there it is shown that the solution x_ε to the evolution equation (1) converges uniformly in time to the solution of

$$x(t) = -\mu \frac{\partial \bar{E}(x(t))}{\partial x}, \text{ with } x(0) = x_0,$$

with an explicitly computed driving force $\frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial x}$. This latter system is then investigated numerically. The variational analysis carried out here can be interpreted in this light. We consider time discretisations, as numerical algorithms would employ, but on the level of the original (not homogenised) energy E_ε , rather than $\bar{E}$. This leads to two parameters, the time discretisation τ and oscillation scale ε . The different scaling regimes that follow naturally are analysed in this paper.

We make the trivial but important remark that the limit of a sequence of gradient flows associated to an family E_ε is in general not the gradient flow of the limit of the energy. For example, on one hand for the energy (2), the associated gradient flow is ($\mu = 1$)

$$x'_\varepsilon(t) = -x_\varepsilon(t) - \sin\left(\frac{x_\varepsilon(t)}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

for initial datum x_0 . If $x_0 \in (-1, 1)$, then such solutions are trapped between stationary solutions, and they converge to the trivial constant state x_0 (*pinning*), while if $|x_0| \geq 1$ they can be shown to converge to a solution x of the gradient flow

$$x'(t) = -\text{sign } x(t) \sqrt{x^2(t) - 1}.$$

On the other hand, E_ε converge uniformly to the quadratic energy, whose gradient flow is trivially

$$x'(t) = -x(t).$$

These behaviours can be obtained as limit cases of *minimising movements along the sequence of energies* E_ε at different *time scales*. Minimising movements are defined as follows: with fixed ε (the spatial scale) and τ (the time scale), we set $x_0^{\varepsilon, \tau} = x_0$ and choose recursively $x_k^{\varepsilon, \tau}$ as a minimiser of

$$x \mapsto E_\varepsilon(x) + \frac{1}{2\tau} |x - x_{k-1}^{\varepsilon, \tau}|^2.$$

This process gives the piecewise-constant trajectories

$$x^{\varepsilon, \tau}(t) = x_{\lfloor t/\tau \rfloor}^{\varepsilon, \tau}.$$

With fixed $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon)$, a minimising movement $x(t)$ along the sequence of energies E_ε at time scale τ is defined as any limit of subsequences of $x^{\varepsilon, \tau}(t)$. Simple examples show that the limit may indeed depend on the subsequence and on the choice of τ . If E_ε is independent of ε this notion coincides with the one given by De Giorgi [6] and at the basis of modern notions of gradient flows (see the monograph by Ambrosio, Gigli and Savaré [3]). Examples of problems related to varying E_ε are analysed in [4], where in particular it is shown that for the energies above and for $\tau \ll \varepsilon$ (*fast time scale*), the minimising movement x coincides with the limit of the solutions x_ε of the gradient flows at fixed ε , while for $\varepsilon \ll \tau$ (*slow time scale*) it coincides with the gradient flow of the limit quadratic energy. That observation highlights the existence of a *critical time scaling* when $\tau \sim \varepsilon$, for which the

minimising movements are not trivially described by the limit of gradient flows or the gradient flow of the limit. The behaviour at those scales is the object of this paper. A rather different very interesting line of investigation has been taken by Menon [10], and independently in parallel by Smyshlyaev. In [10], averaging techniques are developed in the context of the time-continuous dynamical system (1). The homogenisation of first-order ordinary differential equations, including error estimates, is studied further in [8]. We remark that the model we consider is deterministic, where the two parameters come from spatial oscillations and a time discretisation. For stochastic models, it is also natural to consider the effective behaviour in different scaling regimes of space and noise; we refer the reader to [7].

Plan of the paper A summary of the results of the paper is as follows. We consider functions $E_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$E_\varepsilon(x) = h(x) + \varepsilon W\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad (3)$$

where h is a strictly convex function bounded from below and W is a one-periodic even Lipschitz function. We consider a time scale $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon)$ such that ε/τ converges to $\gamma > 0$. Therefore, the present analysis complements the recent one of [4] (see also [5]), where the cases $\gamma \in \{0, +\infty\}$ are investigated. In terms of the mechanical problem of interface propagation discussed above, we show that pinning will occur for small initial data, while large data leads to a gradient flow evolution for which the averaged velocity can be computed. More precisely, we prove that in that case the unique minimising movement x^γ with initial datum $x_0 > 0$ (the case $x_0 < 0$ is analogous by symmetry) is described as follows:

1. *Pinning threshold:* There exists T_γ such that $x^\gamma(t) = x_0$ for all t if $|x_0| \leq T_\gamma$. The pinning threshold is characterised in Proposition 5.4.
2. *Homogenised equation:* If $x_0 > T_\gamma$ then $x^\gamma(t)$ is characterised as the non-increasing function satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt}x^\gamma(t) = -\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x^\gamma(t)))$$

at almost all $t > 0$. The *homogenised velocity* $f_\gamma(z)$ is the *average velocity* (suitably defined) of any discrete orbit $\{y_k\}$ defined recursively by minimisation of the linearity problem

$$y \mapsto zy + W(y) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(y - y_{k-1})^2,$$

which can be shown not to depend on the initial condition y_0 .

Mathematically, our analysis is confined to one space dimension, as it strongly relies on monotonicity properties developed in Section 3. A central argument is a comparison of solution to the nonlinear energy as in (3), and one where h , the non-oscillating part, is suitably linearised. This argument is developed in Section 4.

2 Minimising movement along a sequence

We recall the general definition of minimising movements for a sequence of functionals defined on a Hilbert space.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a separable Hilbert space, $E_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ equicoercive and lower semicontinuous and $x_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$ with $E_\varepsilon(x_0^\varepsilon) \leq C < +\infty$ and $\tau_\varepsilon > 0$ converging to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we define recursively x_i^ε as a minimiser of the problem*

$$\min \left\{ E_\varepsilon(x) + \frac{1}{\tau_\varepsilon} \|x - x_{i-1}^\varepsilon\|^2 \right\}, \quad (4)$$

and the piecewise-constant trajectory

$$x^\varepsilon(t) := x_i^\varepsilon = x_{\lfloor t/\tau_\varepsilon \rfloor}^\varepsilon, \quad t \in [i\tau_\varepsilon, (i+1)\tau_\varepsilon). \quad (5)$$

A minimising movement for E_ε at time scale τ from x_0^ε is the limit of a subsequence x^{ε_j} ,

$$x(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} x^{\varepsilon_j}(t),$$

with respect to the uniform convergence on compact sets of $[0, +\infty)$.

This definition is justified by the following compactness result [4, Proposition 7.1].

Proposition 2.2. *For every E_ε and x_0^ε as above, there exist minimising movements for E_ε , from x_0^ε , with $x(t) \in C^{1/2}([0, +\infty); X)$.*

For a comprehensive study of minimising movements for a fixed $E = E_\varepsilon$ we refer to [3], while a detailed analysis of some of its applications can be found in [4].

3 Monotone behaviour of minimising movements

In the sequel we will study minimising movements for the functions $E_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by (3), where h is a strictly convex function bounded from below. It is not restrictive to suppose that $h \geq 0$, and that h attains its global minimum in $x = 0$. Furthermore, we assume that W is a one-periodic even Lipschitz function with $\|W'\|_\infty = 1$, and that the average of W is 0. The two latter assumptions serve as normalisation only and are not restrictive.

We observe the following simple monotonicity property.

Proposition 3.1. *Given any functions $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta > 0$, for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, let $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$ be minimisers of*

$$t \mapsto \phi(t) + \beta(t - x)^2, \quad t \mapsto \psi(t) + \beta(t - x')^2,$$

respectively. Then

$$\phi(y) - \phi(y') + \psi(y') - \psi(y) \leq 2\beta(x - x')(y - y'). \quad (6)$$

In particular, if $\psi = \phi$ and $x \leq x'$ then $y \leq y'$.

Proof. By assumption

$$\phi(y) + \beta(y - x)^2 \leq \phi(y') + \beta(y' - x)^2, \quad \psi(y') + \beta(y' - x')^2 \leq \psi(y) + \beta(y - x')^2.$$

Summing up the two inequalities and simplifying the terms on both sides we obtain 6. Moreover, if $\psi = \phi$, then

$$(x - x')(y - y') \geq 0,$$

which yields the desired inequality. $\square$

Before analysing the case of fixed ratio ε/τ , we make some general remarks. According to Definition 2.1, we define iteratively the global minimiser x_{i+1}^ε to

$$F_\varepsilon(x, x_i^\varepsilon) = h(x) + \varepsilon W\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{2\tau_\varepsilon}(x - x_i^\varepsilon)^2. \quad (7)$$

First of all, we observe that the sequence of minimisers $(x_i^\varepsilon)_i$ is monotone.

Proposition 3.2 (Monotone behavior of x_i^ε). *Let x_{i+1}^ε be a minimiser to (7). Then the following holds.*

1. *If $x_{i+1}^\varepsilon \leq x_i^\varepsilon$, then $x_{i+2}^\varepsilon \leq x_{i+1}^\varepsilon$.*
2. *If $x_{i+1}^\varepsilon \geq x_i^\varepsilon$, then $x_{i+2}^\varepsilon \geq x_{i+1}^\varepsilon$.*

In particular, $t \mapsto x^\varepsilon(t)$ and $t \mapsto x(t)$ are monotone functions.

Proof. 1. and 2. are straightforward consequences of Proposition 3.1 with $\phi(t) = \psi(t) = h(t) + \varepsilon W(t/\varepsilon)$ and $\beta = 1/(2\tau_\varepsilon)$.

By Definition 2.1, $x^\varepsilon(t)$ is monotone in t , and since it converges uniformly to $x(t) \in C^{1/2}([0, +\infty))$, on compact sets of $[0, +\infty)$, we may conclude that $x(t)$ is also a monotone function. $\square$

4 Linearised energy

In order to characterise the velocity $x'(t_0)$ of the minimising movement scheme (4), we study the average velocity given by

$$\frac{x_i^\varepsilon - x_0^\varepsilon}{i\tau_\varepsilon}. \quad (8)$$

We assume, without loss of generality, that $t_0 = 0$ and $x(0) = x_0^\varepsilon$. We consider a (partial) linearisation of the problem given by

$$F_\varepsilon^T(x, x_i^{\varepsilon, T}) = Tx + \varepsilon W\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{2\tau_\varepsilon}(x - x_i^{\varepsilon, T})^2, \quad (9)$$

where $x_0^{\varepsilon, T} := x_0^\varepsilon$. The term Tx represents the “linear approximation” of the potential h around the point $h(x_0^\varepsilon)$ up to translation by a constant that does not depend on T and i . We recall that h is a strictly convex function, hence h' is a monotone increasing function.

Proposition 4.1 (Monotone behavior of $x_i^{\varepsilon, T}$ with respect to x_i^ε). *Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $h'(x_0 \pm \delta)$ exists.*

1. *Let $x_i^{\varepsilon, T}$ be the minimiser to (9). Then*

- *if $x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T} \leq x_i^{\varepsilon, T}$, then $x_{i+2}^{\varepsilon, T} \leq x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T}$*
- *if $x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T} \geq x_i^{\varepsilon, T}$, then $x_{i+2}^{\varepsilon, T} \geq x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T}$.*

2. *Let $T = T^{\delta+} := h'(x_0 + \delta)$, and x_i^ε minimiser of (7). Then if $x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} \leq x_i^\varepsilon$, then $x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} \leq x_{i+1}^\varepsilon$.*

3. *Let $T = T^{\delta-} := h'(x_0 - \delta)$; then if $x_i^\varepsilon \leq x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta-}}$, then $x_{i+1}^\varepsilon \leq x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta-}}$.*

Proof. The proof of 1. is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.1 with $\phi(t) = \psi(t) = Tt + \varepsilon W(t/\varepsilon)$ and $\beta = 1/(2\tau_\varepsilon)$.

To prove 2., we apply again Proposition 3.1 with $\phi(t) = h(t) + \varepsilon W(t/\varepsilon)$ and $\psi(t) = Tt + \varepsilon W(t/\varepsilon)$ with $T = T^{\delta+} := h'(x_0 + \delta)$ and $\beta = 1/(2\tau_\varepsilon)$. By (6), it holds that

$$\phi(x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) - \phi(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}}) + \psi(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}}) - \psi(x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) \leq 2\beta(x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_i^\varepsilon)(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_{i+1}^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore,

$$h(x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) - h(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}}) + h'(x_0 + \delta)(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) \leq 2\beta(x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_i^\varepsilon)(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_{i+1}^\varepsilon),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(h'(x_0 + \delta) - \frac{h(x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) - h(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}})}{x_{i+1}^\varepsilon - x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}}} \right) (x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) \\ & \leq 2\beta(x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_i^\varepsilon)(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_{i+1}^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}}, x_i^\varepsilon < x_0 + \delta$ and h is a convex function, we get that

$$h'(x_0 + \delta) - \frac{h(x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) - h(x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}})}{x_{i+1}^\varepsilon - x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}}} \geq 0,$$

which gives the monotone behaviour $x_{i+1}^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} \leq x_{i+1}^\varepsilon$. □

4.1 Minimising movement for fixed ratio ε/τ

We consider a time scale $\tau = \tau_\varepsilon$ such that ε/τ converges to $\gamma > 0$. It is not restrictive to suppose that the ratio between ε and τ is fixed,

$$\tau_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\gamma} \varepsilon.$$

We study the linearised energies in (9) and rescale by $1/\varepsilon$, that is,

$$\frac{F_\varepsilon^T(x, x_i^{\varepsilon, T})}{\varepsilon} = T\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + W\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\tau_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x - x_i^{\varepsilon, T}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2.$$

We denote

$$F_\gamma^T(y, y_i^T) := Ty + W(y) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(y - y_i^T)^2, \quad (10)$$

where $y := x/\varepsilon$ and $y_i^T := x_i^{\varepsilon, T}/\varepsilon$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \geq 1$. Note that the minimisers y_i^T depend also on γ . However, we omit this dependence in the notation for simplicity.

Proposition 4.2. *Let y_0, z_0 and T, S be fixed with $T \leq S$. Let $y_0^T = y_0$, $z_0^S = z_0$. Let y_i^T and z_i^S be minimisers to $F_\gamma^T(y, y_{i-1}^T)$ and $F_\gamma^S(y, z_{i-1}^S)$, respectively, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \geq 1$. If $z_0 \leq y_0$ then $z_i^S \leq y_i^T$ for every i .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, with $\phi(t) = St + W(t)$, $\psi(t) = Tt + W(t)$, $x = z_0$, $x' = y_0$, and $\beta = \gamma/2$, it follows that

$$(S - T)(z_1^S - y_1^T) \leq \gamma(z_1^S - y_1^T)(z_0 - y_0).$$

Therefore, if $T \leq S$ and $z_0 \leq y_0$, this yields $z_1^S \leq y_1^T$. Similarly, we can prove that the inequality $z_i^S \leq y_i^T$ is satisfied for any $i \geq 2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *For every T , the limit*

$$f_\gamma(T) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_0 - y_i^T}{i} \quad (11)$$

exists and it is independent of y_0 . Moreover, the function $T \mapsto f_\gamma(T)$ is monotone increasing.

Proof. The existence of the limit is a straightforward consequence of the subadditivity of the sequence (y_i^T) . More precisely, let $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $0 \leq y_k^T + h \leq 1$. Since $(y_k^T + h)_i^T = y_{k+i}^T + h$, by Proposition 4.2, with $S \equiv T$,

$$y_i^T \leq y_{k+i}^T + h \leq y_i^T + 1. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, if we sum up the last inequality in (12) with

$$-1 \leq -(y_k^T + h) \leq 0,$$

we obtain

$$y_i^T + y_k^T - 1 \leq y_{k+i}^T \leq y_i^T + y_k^T + 1,$$

which implies the almost subadditivity of (y_i^T) . We now prove that the limit

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{y_i^T}{i} \right) = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{y_i^T}{i} \right) \quad (13)$$

exists. Let $i = km + n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_i^T}{i} &= \frac{y_{km+n}^T}{km+n} \leq \frac{y_{km}^T + y_n^T + 1}{km+n} \\ &\leq \frac{ky_m^T + y_n^T + k}{km+n} = \frac{ky_m^T}{km+n} + \frac{y_n^T}{km+n} + \frac{k}{km+n}. \end{aligned}$$

If we fix m and pass to the limit k tends to ∞ , we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_i^T}{i} \leq \frac{y_m^T}{m} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Therefore

$$\inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{y_i^T}{i} \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_i^T}{i} \leq \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{y_m^T}{m},$$

which proves (13) and the existence of the limit in (11).

We now prove that the function $T \mapsto f_\gamma(T)$ is independent of y_0 . In fact, we can always rewrite for $k < i$

$$\frac{y_0 - y_i^T}{i} = \frac{y_0 - y_k^T + y_k^T - y_i^T}{i} = \frac{y_0 - y_k^T}{i} + \frac{y_k^T - y_i^T}{i - k} \frac{i - k}{i}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_0 - y_i^T}{i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_k^T - y_i^T}{i - k}.$$

Finally, we remark that the function $T \mapsto f_\gamma(T)$ is monotone increasing; *i.e.*, if $T \leq S$ then $f_\gamma(T) \leq f_\gamma(S)$. By definition (11),

$$f_\gamma(T) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_0 - y_i^T}{i}, \quad f_\gamma(S) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_0 - y_i^S}{i};$$

the monotonicity follows since $-y_i^T \leq -y_i^S$ by Proposition 4.2. $\square$

4.2 Characterisation of periodic orbits for the linearised problem

The definition of $f_\gamma(T)$ reminds that of *Poincaré rotation number* in the theory of Dynamical Systems (see, e.g., [9, Chapter 11]), which, in our notation, concerns the properties of the orbits of the multifunction

$$A_\gamma^T(y) = \operatorname{argmin}\left\{Tz + W(z) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(z - y)^2\right\}.$$

Note that for $T > 1$ this set is a singleton, but for $T \leq 1$ in general it is not. We can nevertheless adapt some arguments borrowed from Dynamical Systems to prove a characterisation of the values of T for which we have periodic orbits. By definition in this case $f_\gamma(T)$ is rational. The converse also holds true as follows.

Proposition 4.4 (Periodic orbits). *Let $T > 0$, and let $\{y_i^T\}$ be defined as in Proposition 4.2. There exists an initial datum $y_0 = y_0^T$ and integers p, q with $q \neq 0$ such that*

$$y_{kq+i}^T = y_i^T + kp \tag{14}$$

if and only if $f_\gamma(T) = \frac{p}{q}$.

Proof. We only have to prove the existence of $\{y_i^T\}$ satisfying (14) assuming that $f_\gamma(T) = p/q$.

We remark that $A = A_\gamma^T$ satisfies

- A is monotonically increasing: if $y \leq y'$ then $A(y) \leq A(y')$; i.e., we have $z \leq z'$ for all $z \in A(y)$ and $z' \in A(y')$ (Proposition 3.1);
- $y \mapsto A(y)$ is (upper) semicontinuous: if $y_n \rightarrow y$, $z_n \in A(y_n)$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$ then $z \in A(y)$;
- $y \mapsto A(y+1) - y$ is 1-periodic. This last property follows from the 1-periodicity of W , since

$$\begin{aligned} A(y+1) &= \operatorname{argmin}\left\{Tz + W(z) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(z - y - 1)^2\right\} \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{argmin}\left\{T(z-1) + W(z-1) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(z-y)^2\right\} \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{argmin}\left\{Tz + W(z) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(z-y)^2\right\} \\ &= 1 + A(y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the recursive construction of y_i^T translates in $y_i^T \in A(y_{i-1}^T)$, and by assumption, we have

$$\lim_n \frac{y_{nq}^T - y_0}{n} = qf_\gamma(T) = p.$$

Hence, we will examine properties of y_{nq}^T , interpreted as the n -th iteration of the multifunction A^q (the q -fold composition of A) applied to y_0 . Note that the multifunction A^q is still increasing and semicontinuous, and $y \mapsto A^q(y) - y$ is 1-periodic.

We have to prove that there exists y_0 such that

$$y_0 + p \in A^q(y_0),$$

from which we obtain (14). Note that we can assume that such p and q are the same as those defining $f_\gamma(T)$ since this will automatically follow from (14).

By the monotonicity of A^q we deduce that $A^q(y)$ is a singleton except for a countable number of y . We may then suppose that $A^q(0)$ is a singleton. We denote by k_0 the integer part of the unique element of $A^q(0)$, and consider the multifunction

$$G(y) := A^q(y) - k_0.$$

Note that G inherits the properties of A^q and that the unique element of $G(0)$ belongs to $(0, 1)$.

We have to show that there exists y such that $(G(y) - y) \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq \emptyset$. We reason by contradiction. Note that the graph of G can be extended to a maximal monotone graph $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, and that if we denote by $\mathcal{G}(y)$ the corresponding set such that $(y, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{G}(y)$, then $\mathcal{G}(y)$ is a segment (degenerate for almost all y) whose endpoints belong to $G(y)$ by semicontinuity. This implies that the graph of $\mathcal{G}(y) - y$ cannot intersect the horizontal lines $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, suppose that otherwise there exist y such $0 \in \mathcal{G}(y) - y$ and let $\tilde{y}$ be the minimum of such points in $(0, 1)$ (which exists since the graph of $\mathcal{G}(y) - y$ is a continuous curve and $0 \notin \mathcal{G}(0)$). Then either $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{y})$ is a singleton, or the segment $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{y}) - \tilde{y}$ has 0 as the lower endpoint. In either case, we have $0 \in G(\tilde{y}) - \tilde{y}$, which contradicts our hypothesis. Similarly, we may show that there is no y such that $1 \in G(y) - y$. Hence, we have $\mathcal{G}(y) - y \subset (0, 1)$ for all y .

By the continuity and periodicity of the graph of $\mathcal{G}(y) - y$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta \leq G(y) - y \leq 1 - \delta \quad \text{for all } y.$$

Let $y_0 = 0$ and $y_i \in G(y_{i-1})$. For all n , from

$$\delta \leq y_{i+1} - y_i \leq 1 - \delta \quad \text{for all } i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$$

we deduce that

$$n\delta \leq G(y_n) = A^{nq}(0) - nk_0 \leq n(1 - \delta);$$

that is,

$$k_0 + \delta \leq \frac{A^{nq}(0)}{n} \leq k_0 + 1 - \delta.$$

Passing to the limit we finally get

$$k_0 + \delta \leq qf_\gamma(T) \leq k_0 + 1 - \delta,$$

which contradicts the assumption $qf_\gamma(T) \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

5 The limit equation

In this section, we show that the limit trajectory x satisfies

$$x'(t) = -\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x(t))) \quad (15)$$

for almost all $t > 0$, with f_γ defined in (11). This equation fully characterises x given the initial datum x_0 .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$. Let t_0 be such that $x'(t_0)$ exists. Then*

$$\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x(t_0)^-)) \leq -x'(t_0) \leq \gamma f_\gamma(h'(x(t_0)^+)).$$

Proof. By translating the time variable if necessary we can suppose $t_0 = 0$ and $x_0 = x(0)$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $h'(x_0 \pm \delta)$ exists. By Proposition 4.1, 2.-3.,

$$\frac{x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_0}{i\tau_\varepsilon} \leq \frac{x_i^\varepsilon - x_0}{i\tau_\varepsilon} \leq \frac{x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta-}} - x_0}{i\tau_\varepsilon}.$$

The averaged velocity, as in (8), is given by

$$\frac{x_i^{\varepsilon, T} - x_0}{i\tau_\varepsilon} = \gamma \frac{y_i^T - y_0}{i};$$

with definition (11) it follows that

$$-\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x_0 + \delta)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta+}} - x_0}{i\tau_\varepsilon}, \quad -\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x_0 - \delta)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_i^{\varepsilon, T^{\delta-}} - x_0}{i\tau_\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x_0^-)) \leq -x'(0) \leq \gamma f_\gamma(h'(x_0^+))$$

as desired. $\square$

The previous result proves that equation (15) fully characterises x when $t \mapsto x(t)$ is strictly monotone, so that the set of t such that

$$\gamma f_\gamma(h'(x(t)^-)) \neq \gamma f_\gamma(h'(x(t)^+))$$

is of zero (Lebesgue) measure. By the monotonicity of f_γ , if x is not strictly monotone then it is constant, so again (15) is satisfied.

We now characterise the *pinning set*, that is, the set of initial data for which $x(t) = x_0$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 5.2 (Pinning threshold). *For fixed $\gamma > 0$, we define the pinning threshold at scale γ as $T_\gamma := \sup\{T : f_\gamma(T) = 0\}$.*

Remark 5.3. Note that f_γ is monotonically increasing, and thus $f_\gamma = 0$ on $[0, T_\gamma]$. Hence, for all x_0 with $|x_0| \leq T_\gamma$ the motion is pinned; *i.e.*, $x(t) = x_0$ for all t .

The following proposition gives a criterion for the computation of the pinning threshold if $Ty + W(y)$ has (at most) a unique local minimiser in the period. Then it suffices to examine the case where the iteration from that point is trivial. Note that if $T \geq 1$ the function $y \mapsto Ty + W(y)$ is strictly increasing, so that $T > T_\gamma$.

Proposition 5.4 (Characterization of the pinning threshold). *Assume that W' has a unique local maximum in $(0, 1/2)$. Let $0 < T < 1$ and denote by $y_T \in (-1/2, 0)$ the unique local minimiser of $y \mapsto Ty + W(y)$ in $[-1/2, 1/2]$. Then for every fixed $\gamma > 0$, we have $T < T_\gamma$ if and only if the function*

$$\varphi_T(y) := Ty + W(y) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(y - y_T)^2$$

has a unique global minimum in y_T .

Proof. Suppose that y_T is the unique global minimiser of φ_T . Then we can choose as initial datum $y_0 = y_T$ in the computation of the velocity in Theorem 4.3, and obtain the trivial orbit $y_k = y_T$. Hence the velocity is 0 and consequently $T \leq T_\gamma$. Actually, noting that local minimisers of φ_T are a finite set defined by the identity $T + W'(y) + \gamma(y - y_T) = 0$, we have $T < T_\gamma$ by the continuous dependence of these quantities in T .

Conversely, suppose that y_T not be the unique global minimiser of φ_T . By definition of T_γ in order to show that $T_\gamma \leq T$ it suffices to prove that the motion is not pinned for all $T + \delta$ for $\delta > 0$. Then, up to taking such $T + \delta$ in the place of T , we may directly suppose that y_T is not a global minimiser of φ_T , which is instead a value $y_1 \in [y_T - n_1, y_M - n_1]$, where y_M is the unique (local) maximum point of W' in $(0, 1/2)$ and n_1 is some positive integer. Now, define the set

$$I = \left\{ y \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) : \text{there exists a unique minimiser } \bar{y} < -\frac{1}{2} \text{ of} \right. \\ \left. w \mapsto Tw + W(w) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(w - y)^2 \right\},$$

which is the set of initial data for which the first iteration moves to “another well”. By continuity, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $[y_T - \delta, y_T + \delta] \subset I$. Then $y_N \in I - k_1$ after a finite number of iterations N independent of y_1 (for a finer estimate of N in the piecewise-quadratic case we refer to Section 6), and we can proceed by induction. This gives the positiveness of the velocity and $T \geq T_\gamma$. $\square$

Remark 5.5 (Asymptotic behaviour at the pinning threshold). If the hypotheses of Proposition 5.4 are satisfied and W is C^2 at local minimisers of $Ty + W(y)$ with strictly positive second derivative then for all $\gamma > 0$

$$f_\gamma(T) \sim \frac{1}{\log(T - T_\gamma)}$$

as $T \rightarrow T_\gamma^+$. This will be shown for piecewise quadratic energies W in detail in the next section.

Proposition 5.6 (Extreme minimizing movements). *We have*

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \gamma f_\gamma(z) = z, \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma f_\gamma(z) = g_\infty(z), \quad (16)$$

where g_∞ is given by

$$g_\infty(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{z + W(s)} ds \right)^{-1} & \text{if } \frac{1}{z + W(s)} \text{ is integrable,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} T_\gamma = \sup_\gamma T_\gamma = T_\infty, \quad (18)$$

where $[-T_\infty, T_\infty] = \{T \in \mathbb{R} : g_\infty(T) = 0\}$.

Proof. Assume that h and W are C^2 -functions. The convergence as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ follows from the observation that the orbit x_k^ε satisfies

$$\frac{x_k^\varepsilon - x_{k-1}^\varepsilon}{\tau} = -h'(x_k^\varepsilon) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right) = -x_k^\varepsilon + o(1)$$

as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. Conversely, the convergence as $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ follows by noting that as $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ the orbits $x^\varepsilon(t)$, defined as in (5), are close to the corresponding solution of the gradient flow

$$x'_\varepsilon = -h'(x_\varepsilon) - W'\left(\frac{x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

whose limit satisfies $x' = -g_\infty(h'(x))$. □

Remark 5.7. By Theorem 8.1 in [4], the equations

$$x' = -h'(x) \text{ and } x' = -g_\infty(h'(x))$$

describe the minimising movements in the cases $\varepsilon \ll \tau$ and $\tau \ll \varepsilon$, respectively. The previous proposition shows that the same extreme minimising movements are obtained by keeping the ratio $\gamma = \varepsilon/\tau$ fixed and then let it tend to 0 and $+\infty$, respectively.

6 An example: the piecewise-quadratic case

In this section, we provide an example of oscillating potential and calculate explicitly the corresponding pinning threshold T_γ . More precisely, we consider the piecewise quadratic energy

$$W(y) := \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (y - k)^2.$$

Besides giving an illustrative example, we deduce the asymptotic behaviour at the pinning threshold, which depends only on the non-degeneracy of the second derivative at local minima. In this way we deduce the asymptotic behaviour in the general case as in Remark 5.5.

For this choice of W , for T_∞ as in Proposition 5.6, it holds that

$$T_\infty = 1,$$

and (17) becomes

$$g_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)} \quad \text{for } z > 1.$$

The function W is 1-periodic and piecewise quadratic with $\|W'\|_\infty = 1$. For simplicity, we fix also $h(x) = x^2/2$. Let $T \in (0, 1)$ and $y_0 \in [0, 1/2)$. The minimum of the function $F_\gamma^T(y, y_0) = Ty + W(y) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(y - y_0)^2$, given in (10), on the interval $[-1/2 + k, 1/2 + k]$ can be attained at the boundary or at the interior of this interval; it is given by

$$y_{1,k} = \frac{-T + 2k}{2 + \gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2 + \gamma} y_0 \quad (19)$$

($y_{1,k}$ also depends on T but we suppress this in the notation).

The global minimiser y_1^T to $F_\gamma^T(y, y_0)$ can get stuck in the same well of y_0 , that is, $y_1^T = y_{1,0}$. Otherwise it can move into the next well, corresponding to $k = -1$, that is, $y_1^T = y_{1,-1}$.

Any single well of $W(y)$ is denoted by $W(y; k) := (y - k)^2$ for every $y \in [-1/2 + k, 1/2 + k]$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(y) := & \left(Ty_{1,-1} + W(y_{1,-1}; -1) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(y_{1,-1} - y)^2 \right) \\ & - \left(Ty_{1,0} + W(y_{1,0}; 0) + \frac{\gamma}{2}(y_{1,0} - y)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

To establish if y_1^T gets stuck or moves, we have to study the sign of $\psi(y_0)$, since it is the difference between the minimum value of the two wells. Therefore, if $\psi(y_0) < 0$, then the minimiser satisfies $y_1^T = y_{1,-1}$; $\psi(y_0) \geq 0$ implies that $y_1^T = y_{1,0}$. In particular, from the sign of $\psi(y_0)$ we expect to derive the pinning threshold T_γ .

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$ and let $T \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist*

$$T_\gamma := \frac{\gamma}{(2 + \gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_T := \left(\frac{2 + \gamma}{2\gamma} \right) (T - T_\gamma),$$

such that the following holds.

1. For every $T > T_\gamma$ we have that, the following possibilities exist.

(i) If $y_0 \in [0, (-T/2) + \delta_T)$ then $y_1^T = y_{1,-1}$, where the latter is defined in (19). Moreover, if

$$y_1^T + \frac{T}{2} + 1 = \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} \left(y_0 + \frac{T}{2} + 1 \right) < -\frac{T}{2} + \delta_T,$$

then the successive minimiser is given by $y_2^T = y_{2,-2}$ and so on.

(ii) If $y_0 \in [(-T/2) + \delta_T, 1/2)$ then there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$h = \left\lfloor \frac{\log \left(\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{T-T_\gamma}{T+1}} \right)}{\log \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} \right)} \right\rfloor + 1 \quad (21)$$

such that $y_1^T = y_{1,0}, \dots, y_h^T = y_{h-1,0} \geq (-T/2) + \delta_T$, $y_{h,0} < (-T/2) + \delta_T$ and $y_{h+1}^T = y_{h+1,-1}$. Similarly, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ exists such that for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$y_k^T + \frac{T}{2} + p \geq -\frac{T}{2} + \delta_T,$$

then there exists h as in (21) such that if we take as initial data $z_0 := y_k^T + (T/2) + p$ then we get a new sequence of minimisers such that $z_1^T = z_{1,0}, \dots, z_{h-1}^T = z_{h-1,0} \geq -T + \delta_T$, $z_h^T = z_{h,0} < (-T/2) + \delta_T$ and $z_{h+1}^T = z_{h+1,-1}$.

2. For every

$$T \leq T_\gamma \text{ and } y_0 \geq 0$$

the motion is pinned.

Proof. We first derive T_γ using the criterion given in Proposition 5.4. More precisely, since the unique local minimiser of $y \mapsto Ty + W(y)$ in $[-1/2, 1/2]$ is $y_T = -T/2$, by (19) and (20), with $y_0 = y_T$ we have that

$$y_{1,-1} = -\frac{T}{2} - \frac{2}{2+\gamma}, \quad y_{1,0} = -\frac{T}{2}.$$

and

$$\psi(y_T) = \frac{2}{2+\gamma} \left(-T + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \gamma y_T \right) = -T + \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}.$$

Therefore, we find

$$T_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}.$$

Moreover, for fixed $y_0 = (-T/2) + \delta$, one has

$$\psi\left(-\frac{T}{2} + \delta\right) \leq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \delta \leq \frac{2+\gamma}{2\gamma}\left(T - \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right).$$

Therefore, if we define

$$\delta_T := \frac{2+\gamma}{2\gamma}\left(T - T_\gamma\right) \quad (22)$$

we are ready to prove the statements 1.(i) and 1.(ii) of the proposition.

We now give the proof of 1.(i). For every $T > T_\gamma$ there exists $\delta_T > 0$, given by (22), such that for every $y_0 \in [0, (-T/2) + \delta_T]$ we have that $\psi(y_0) < 0$, that is, $y_1^T = y_{1,-1}$.

Reasoning as above, we observe that if $y_{1,-1} + (T/2) + 1 < (-T/2) + \delta_T$, then $y_2^T = y_{2,-2}$ and we can iterate until this condition is satisfied. The case $y_{1,-1} + (T/2) + 1 \geq (-T/2) + \delta_T$ is addressed in point 1.(ii).

Next, we give the proof of claim 1.(ii). If $y_0 \in [(-T/2) + \delta_T, 1/2]$ then $\psi(y_0) \geq 0$ and the minimisers can be calculated recursively, by (19), in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^T &= y_{1,0} = \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} y_0 - \frac{T}{2+\gamma}, \\ y_2^T &= y_{2,0} = \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} y_1^T - \frac{T}{2+\gamma} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^2 y_0 - \frac{T}{2+\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right), \\ y_h^T &= y_{h,0} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h y_0 - \frac{T}{2+\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may rewrite

$$y_h^T = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h \left(y_0 + \frac{T}{2}\right) - \frac{T}{2}. \quad (23)$$

Since $(y_0 + (T/2)) < \frac{1}{2} + (T/2)$, then we may assume that

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h \left(y_0 + \frac{T}{2}\right) - \frac{T}{2} < \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h \left(\frac{T+1}{2}\right) - \frac{T}{2} < -\frac{T}{2} + \delta_T.$$

Therefore

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h < \frac{2\delta_T}{T+1};$$

that is,

$$h > \frac{\log\left(\frac{2\delta_T}{T+1}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)} = \frac{\log\left(\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{T-T_\gamma}{T+1}}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)}.$$

Similarly, if $y_1^T + (T/2) + 1 \geq -(T/2) + \delta_T$ then we may reason as above, by taking as initial datum $z_0 := y_1^T + (T/2) + 1$. Therefore, we get a new sequence of minimisers given by

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^T &= z_{1,0} = \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} z_0 - \frac{T}{2+\gamma}, \\ z_2^T &= z_{2,0} = \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} z_1 - \frac{T}{2+\gamma} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^2 z_0 - \frac{T}{1+\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right), \\ &\dots \\ z_h^T &= z_{h,0} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h \left(z_0 + \frac{T}{2}\right) - \frac{T}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

such that $z_1^T = z_{1,0}, \dots, z_{h-1}^T = z_{h-1,0} \geq (-T/2) + \delta_T$, $z_h^T = z_{h,0} < (-T/2) + \delta_T$ and $z_{h+1}^T = z_{h+1,-1}$. More generally, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ exists such that, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_k^T + (T/2) + p \geq (-T/2) + \delta_T$, then we may repeat the procedure above by assuming $z_0 := y_k^T + (T/2) + p$.

We now turn to case 2. and give the proof. If $T \leq T_\gamma$, since $y_0 \geq 0$, then $\psi(y_0) > 0$, that is, $y_1^T = y_{1,0}$. Moreover, by (23), we have that

$$y_h^T = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)^h \left(y_0 + \frac{T}{2}\right) - \frac{T}{2} > -\frac{T}{2} + \delta_T$$

for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} y_h^T = (-T/2)$, that is, for every $T \leq T_\gamma$ the motion is pinned. $\square$

Remark 6.2 (Behaviour at the pinning threshold). From Proposition 6.1, case 1.(ii) we deduce that

$$f_\gamma(T) \sim \frac{\log\left(\frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma}\right)}{\log\left(\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{T-T_\gamma}{T+1}}\right)};$$

that is, for $\gamma > 0$ fixed,

$$f_\gamma(T) \sim \frac{1}{|\log(T - T_\gamma)|}$$

as $T \rightarrow T_\gamma^+$. Note in particular that f_γ is not Lipschitz for $T \rightarrow T_\gamma^+$.

Acknowledgements NA and JZ gratefully acknowledge funding by the Marie Curie Actions: Intra-European Fellowship for Career Development (IEF2012, FP7-People) under REA grant agreement n° 326044. JZ was partially supported by the UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Grant EP/K027743/1 and the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2013-261).

References

- [1] R. Abeyaratne, C. Chu, and R. D. James. Kinetics of materials with wiggly energies: theory and application to the evolution of twinning microstructures in a Cu-Al-Ni shape memory alloy. *Philos. Mag. A*, 73(2):457–497, February 1996.
- [2] R. Abeyaratne and S. Vedantam. Propagation of a front by kink motion—from a discrete model to a continuum model. In *Variations of domain and free-boundary problems in solid mechanics (Paris, 1997)*, volume 66 of *Solid Mech. Appl.*, pages 77–84. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2008.
- [4] A. Braides. *Local Minimization, Variational Evolution and Γ -convergence*, volume 2094 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [5] A. Braides and L. Truskinovsky. Asymptotic expansions by Γ -convergence. *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 20:21–62, 2008.
- [6] E. De Giorgi. New problems on minimizing movements. In *Boundary value problems for partial differential equations and applications*, volume 29 of *RMA Res. Notes Appl. Math.*, pages 81–98. Masson, Paris, 1993.
- [7] P. Dupuis and K. Spiliopoulos. Large deviations for multiscale diffusion via weak convergence methods. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122(4):1947–1987, 2012.
- [8] H. Ibrahim and R. Monneau. On the rate of convergence in periodic homogenization of scalar first-order ordinary differential equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 42(5):2155–2176, 2010.
- [9] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.
- [10] G. Menon. Gradient systems with wiggly energies and related averaging problems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 162(3):193–246, 2002.