



International Building Operation Standard

A framework for assessing building
performance

Global

1st edition, February 2022

IBOS: International Building Operation Standard

A framework for assessing building performance

Practice information, global

1st edition, January 2022



Published by the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS)

Parliament Square

London

SW1P 3AD

www.rics.org

No responsibility for loss or damage caused to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of the material included in this publication can be accepted by the authors or RICS.

This document was originally published in February 2022 as an RICS framework and reissued in January 2023 as RICS practice information.

ISBN 978 1 78321 456 3

© Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) February 2022. Copyright in all or part of this publication rests with RICS. Save where and to the extent expressly permitted within this document, no part of this work may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means including graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping or web distribution, without the written permission of RICS or in line with the rules of an existing licence.

Typeset using Typefi

Acknowledgements

RICS would like to thank the following for their contributions to IBOS:

Lead author

Brian Thompson MRICS (realestateworks Ltd.)

Working group

Kath Fontana FRICS (Mitie)

Olga Turner, MRICS (Ekkist)

Nevin Sood FRICS (Apple Inc.)

Colin Terry (RICS)

Andrew Knight (RICS)

Andrew Smith MRICS (The John Lewis Partnership)

Janelle Chandler MRICS (Sagicor)

Cristian Vasiliu (ROFMA)

Jevon Hughes (Acutro)

Richard Le Sueur (International WELL Building Institute)

Tom McClellan MRICS (WiredScore)

Magnus Roxner (SAFE Asset Group)

RICS Standards lead

Paul Bagust

Standards delivery project manager

Helvi Cranfield

Editor

Sam Birch

Contents

Acknowledgements	ii
RICS standards framework	1
Document definitions	2
Who is this framework for?	3
1 Introduction	4
1.1 What is IBOS?	4
1.2 Purpose of IBOS	5
2 Stakeholders	7
3 The five pillars of performance	9
3.1 Interface with third-party standards	11
4 Indicators	12
5 Measuring performance	14
5.1 Pillar 1: Compliant	15
5.2 Pillar 2: Functional	16
5.3 Pillar 3: Economic	17
5.4 Pillar 4: Sustainable	18
5.5 Pillar 5: Performing	19
6 How to apply IBOS	20
6.1 Stage 1: Establish the pillars	21
6.2 Stage 2a: Capture and analyse data	21
6.3 Stage 2b: Capture and analyse processes	21
6.4 Stage 3: Perform gap analysis	22
6.5 Stage 4a: Prepare value creation plan	22
6.5 Stage 4b: Identify and report on outputs	22
6.6 Stage 5a: Undertake performance review	23
6.7 Stage 5b: Identify and report on outcomes	23
7 Application of IBOS	24
7.1 Variable data	25
7.2 Reports, documents and other data	26
7.3 IBOS assessment tool	26
7.4 IBOS and digital risk	26
8 IBOS outputs and outcome.....	27
Annex A: Standards, certifications, methodologies and tools	29

RICS standards framework

RICS' standards setting is governed and overseen by the Standards and Regulation Board (SRB). The SRB's aims are to operate in the public interest, and to develop the technical and ethical competence of the profession and its ability to deliver ethical practice to high standards globally.

The RICS [Rules of Conduct](#) set high-level professional requirements for the global chartered surveying profession. These are supported by more detailed standards and information relating to professional conduct and technical competency.

The SRB focuses on the conduct and competence of RICS members, to set standards that are proportionate, in the public interest and based on risk. Its approach is to foster a supportive atmosphere that encourages a strong, diverse, inclusive, effective and sustainable surveying profession.

As well as developing its own standards, RICS works collaboratively with other bodies at a national and international level to develop documents relevant to professional practice, such as cross-sector guidance, codes and standards. The application of these collaborative documents by RICS members will be defined either within the document itself or in associated RICS-published documents.

Document definitions

RICS professional standards	Set requirements or expectations for RICS members and regulated firms about how they provide services or the outcomes of their actions. RICS professional standards are principles-based and focused on outcomes and good practice. Any requirements included set a baseline expectation for competent delivery or ethical behaviour. They include practices and behaviours intended to protect clients and other stakeholders, as well as ensuring their reasonable expectations of ethics, integrity, technical competence and diligence are met. Members must comply with an RICS professional standard. They may include: • mandatory requirements, which use the word ‘must’ and must be complied with, and/or • recommended best practice, which uses the word ‘should’. It is recognised that there may be acceptable alternatives to best practice that achieve the same or a better outcome. In regulatory or disciplinary proceedings, RICS will take into account relevant professional standards when deciding whether an RICS member or regulated firm acted appropriately and with reasonable competence. It is also likely that during any legal proceedings a judge, adjudicator or equivalent will take RICS professional standards into account.
RICS practice information	Information to support the practice, knowledge and performance of RICS members and regulated firms, and the demand for professional services. Practice information includes definitions, processes, toolkits, checklists, insights, research and technical information or advice. It also includes documents that aim to provide common benchmarks or approaches across a sector to help build efficient and consistent practice. This information is not mandatory and does not set requirements for RICS members or make explicit recommendations.

Who is this framework for?

The RICS **International Building Operation Standard (IBOS)** is universally relevant to all types of property, irrespective of scale, purpose or location. It benefits all stakeholders who want to benchmark and improve property performance, but who also need to make smart and informed decisions at the asset or portfolio level.

A clear focus on the needs and perceptions of building users sets IBOS apart from other measures of performance. Beyond this core purpose, it can provide valuable insights and support to a network of property and facilities managers, investors, asset managers, human resources managers and of course occupiers.

Our free-to-use self-assessment tool will help businesses assess not just property performance, but also their relative maturity in capturing, analysing and reporting on critical data.

Since no two organisations will be on the same growth or evolutionary trajectory, IBOS should not be interpreted as a prescriptive standard.

Smaller and less mature organisations can apply the IBOS framework to support and guide their growth and longevity by focusing on those elements of the framework specifically relevant to their circumstances. Other organisations may wish to apply IBOS in full from day one.

IBOS contains no mandatory or regulatory provisions, but complements RICS' professional standards regarding sustainability, ethics, building operation, procurement and real estate management, and is directly linked to RICS' Royal Charter.

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of technologies to support both remote working and safe working in buildings. It has also placed added value on activities that support the health and well-being of building users – activities that make the office, factory, hospital, school or other facility a safe and supportive place that people want to occupy.

In parallel, the climate crisis and net zero carbon ambitions of organisations across all sectors have raised the profile of asset management activities that seek to optimise the use of resources. The creation of social value is also a clearly defined and explicit target outcome for many public, private and voluntary sector organisations.

With a changing social, economic and environmental landscape, and a growing awareness of the environmental, social and governance (ESG) agenda, it is important for those with responsibility for property assets at all stages of the property life cycle to adopt a multidimensional and people-centric approach to assessing performance.

There is also a well-established awareness in the property and facilities management industry that the effectiveness of building occupiers is directly determined by the way the building is managed and operated. The industry is also mature in terms of certifications and accreditations that rely on taking a snapshot of the systems, infrastructure and technologies in place.

Finally, there are both an increasing number of stakeholders with an interest in the way property assets are managed throughout their life cycle, and an increasing number of ways in which the value of a property asset to its occupier can be measured.

These trends demand a fresh look at property asset performance. The climate is therefore right for the introduction of a new, simple-to-operate, multidimensional **International Building Operation Standard (IBOS)**.

1.1 What is IBOS?

IBOS is essentially a tool for measuring the performance of assets from a range of perspectives.

To do so, it captures raw data from real-time systems, surveys, documents and other one-off or periodic exercises, and it examines processes. With this information, IBOS can then inform planning and decision-making at various levels.

Strategies, plans and project-related documentation can also inform IBOS, hence the two-way connectivity. For example, IBOS will capture the direction of travel indicated in an asset management strategy, and combine it with other inputs to inform building-specific asset management plans or business cases for projects.

It is therefore important to recognise that IBOS does not exist as a tier within a hierarchy. Instead, it is the glue that connects what might otherwise be treated as isolated datasets, plans and other documents.

Figure 1 highlights the role of IBOS in terms of integration.




Figure 1: IBOS as an integrator

1.2 Purpose of IBOS

The purpose of IBOS is to support organisations that wish to measure the operational performance of their property assets in a consistent manner – irrespective of the type of property or its location. IBOS cuts across asset types and geographical boundaries.

Efficient and effective asset performance is contingent on accurate and timely data being available. That data must look at multiple facets of performance simultaneously, such as utilisation, life cycle costing and environmental performance. In this context, efficient asset management is concerned with delivering a specified asset management service at the lowest cost.

IBOS adds another dimension – the user experience – and in doing so reaches beyond the more traditional technical aspects of operational performance that have become tried and tested over many decades. In addition, innovations such as tenant apps, biometrics

and touch-free access has resulted in a shift towards a workplace supported by accessible technology. IBOS considers the new domains of smart and digitally-enabled experiences as part of the user experience.

Introducing the user experience into the framework for measuring the operational performance of assets is what sets IBOS apart from all other methodologies. By reflecting the needs and perceptions of users in a simple but unique approach to holistic property performance assessment, RICS has developed a methodology that is entirely new to the market.

Not only does IBOS introduce the occupier dimension as a separate consideration when assessing overall property performance, it recognises that the technical performance of an asset also affects the experience of the user. For example, consider how alternative approaches to space utilisation, maintenance, cleaning or life cycle replacement can impact directly or indirectly on the ability of a workplace to effectively support the needs of the occupier.

By focusing on specific dimensions of performance, entitled **pillars**, IBOS is designed to:

- be easy to use
- capture a sufficiently broad range of data to deliver a rounded picture of operational performance
- enable benchmarking of performance across a portfolio or between portfolios
- create a core body of knowledge regarding asset performance that can be augmented by users according to their particular requirements, asset types, etc. and
- identify a range of performance indicators that should be considered, rather than confirming the precise level of performance that is appropriate.

The last point is very important. While the desired performance measures selected by users will relate to factors such as the prevailing legislative framework, good practice guidelines and of course the type of property asset and its location, IBOS provides insights to help arrive at the optimum balance of performance across many indicators.

The common bond that integrates many performance indicators is the experience of the user.

It is important to note that IBOS represents an approach to property asset performance assessment that can inform strategic asset management planning and decision-making, and help deliver the required outcomes. It also provides a valuable tool to support the appraisal of options and the associated preparation of business cases.

2 Stakeholders

There may be many different stakeholders with a potential interest in the performance of a property asset, particularly if the asset is customer-facing and/or supports a number of functions.

For simplicity, the potential portfolio of stakeholders has been categorised as 'internal' or 'external', as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: IBOS stakeholders

Given the broad range of potential stakeholders and the scope of the data to be captured and analysed, there are many corresponding uses of IBOS, including:

- internal portfolio benchmarking
- portfolio comparison against those of other parties
- day-to-day and strategic asset management
- data optimisation
- sustainable asset performance
- ESG reporting

- strategic portfolio planning
- capital planning and prioritisation
- workplace and workforce planning
- post-occupancy research
- compliance assessment and
- user satisfaction assessment.

3 The five pillars of performance

Operational property assets are, by definition, acquired and managed to support the core business of an organisation. While it can sometimes be helpful to consider alternative use potential, rental value and similar measures, operational asset performance should be measured using metrics and standards that are different to those recommended for use with an investment portfolio.

Looking to the future, operational asset performance metrics – including the perceptions of the user – will have an influence on investment portfolio-level metrics. Delivering on the user experience will therefore be paramount to a successful investment portfolio.

The five pillars of performance that form the foundation of IBOS approach performance from different perspectives but with a single unifying thread: the user experience.

The five pillars are:

- **Compliant:** how well the building complies with relevant statute, best practice and guidance on the working environment and its drivers such as temperature, air quality, ventilation, lighting levels, and health and safety compliance. This can include compliance with existing, new and emerging voluntary building standards. As indicated in section 1.2, IBOS does not aim to specify the correct level or measure of performance. Instead, it is for the user to understand the specific statutes, best practice and guidance that are appropriate for their assets – and act accordingly. Acting accordingly means capturing and analysing data, reporting on the findings, and acting to incrementally improve asset performance and the user experience. Data to be captured will usually be associated with specific areas or types of space. For example, the noise level threshold in an open plan office or library will be different to a canteen or reception area. Similarly, lighting level requirements will be heavily dependent on the function of the space.
- **Functional:** the suitability of the building to meet occupier needs in terms of issues such as connectivity, configuration, flexibility and utilisation, but also human factors such as the user experience and the effectiveness of FM and asset management services. IBOS describes the datasets to be captured as the first step in the journey of continuous improvement to create a more functionally efficient and effective asset.
- **Economic:** the building's operating and life cycle costs, and how they are assessed, managed, balanced and optimised. Full occupancy costs should be captured, together with longer-term planned capital investments. The occupancy costs should capture any costs incurred by landlords and re-charged via service charges or similar. Planned capital investments can be informed by condition survey reports or other proposals to maintain or enhance the condition and functionality of the asset.
- **Sustainable:** the building's social and environmental impacts such as decarbonisation, energy use, waste, social impact, accessibility and transport arrangements. IBOS

addresses the growing global consciousness of the social and environmental impact of buildings and the operations they accommodate. Compliance with the standard requires not simply the capturing and analysis of relevant datasets but also the preparation of, and adherence to, certain policies and strategies.

- **Performing:** the ability of the building – and the way it is managed – to effectively support the performance, satisfaction, user experience, health and well-being of occupiers.

A unique feature of IBOS is the interplay between the pillars. For example, IBOS requires data on user satisfaction to be captured and analysed when assessing performance against indicators such as:

- thermal comfort
- air quality
- acoustics
- workspace optimisation and
- connectivity.

The fifth pillar, ‘Performing’, is also entirely focused on the support the asset provides for the user. Figure 3 summarises the centrality of data and the user of the assets to the application of IBOS.




Figure 3: IBOS summary

3.1 Interface with third-party standards

There are two ways in which IBOS interacts with other standards:

- 1 When adopting IBOS, the asset manager captures data in a manner consistent with good practice and other relevant standards such as the following RICS standards: [International Property Measurement Standards](#) for measurement, [NRM 3](#) for estimating life cycle costs and [ICMS 3](#) for cost management.
- 2 They then use this data to derive performance measures and compare the outputs to relevant good practice, benchmarks and other targets.

IBOS is consistent with emerging global standards, certifications and methodologies that address the quality of sustainability, communications technology and support for the well-being of users; see Annex A for a sample of existing tools that can be applied. While IBOS does not require the adoption of any specific standards, certifications or methodologies, it is recognised that there is a growing list of tools and techniques that will help demonstrate adherence to the principles of IBOS.

4 Indicators

The foundations of IBOS are the five pillars, each of which addresses asset performance by means of indicators.

To align with IBOS, follow these key steps. A fuller description of the process is provided in section 6.

- 1 Establish the pillars against which performance is to be assessed, noting that maximum benefit is obtained by adopting all pillars. Each of the five pillars has a set of indicative performance indicators.
- 2 Capture and analyse data for each asset and performance indicator.
- 3 Capture and analyse the processes by which that data is itself captured and analysed.
- 4 Undertake a gap analysis:
 - The data or performance metric is compared to relevant benchmarks, statutory requirements, good practice guidelines, etc. and any gaps identified.
 - The processes for capturing, analysing and reporting on data or performance metrics are compared to best practice, and any gaps identified.
- 5 Prepare a value creation plan to close any gaps, with costs and benefits identified.
- 6 Identify and report on the outcomes achieved.

Figure 4 shows the hierarchy of pillars and indicators.




Figure 4: Hierarchy of pillars and indicators

The examples below illustrate the interaction between pillars, indicators, processes and data.

Example 1: the 'Functional' pillar includes an indicator entitled 'Workspace optimisation'

The **processes** to be noted include how space is measured, how space use is monitored, how user needs for space are determined, and how user needs for space and user satisfaction with the space configuration are monitored.

The **data** to be captured and analysed includes data on space and how it is used, numbers of workstations (if appropriate), definitions of user needs for space and user satisfaction feedback on the suitability and fitness for purpose of the workplace.

Example 2: the 'Sustainable' pillar includes an indicator entitled 'Operational energy use'

The **processes** to be noted include how energy use and CO₂ emissions are monitored and measured, how energy source by type is categorised and how objectives for energy use are set.

The **data** to be captured and analysed relates to items such as space occupied, asset type, CO₂ emissions, energy sources and energy consumption.

Example 3: the 'Performing' pillar includes an indicator entitled 'User experience'

The **processes** to be noted include how the perceptions held by occupiers of the workplace and the methods of managing the workplace are monitored.

The **data** to be captured and analysed relates to items such as feedback, findings from sentiment surveys, perceptions, scores or ratings of various aspects of the workplace and the way it is managed.

Example 4: the 'Functional' pillar includes an indicator entitled 'Connectivity'

The **processes** to be noted include availability of dedicated infrastructure for LAN, internet and mobile services, the optionality of internet services to the building and the methodology for bringing in new services to the building.

The **data** to be captured and analysed includes the quality and capacity of infrastructure, the capability of the mobile and internet offering, and user satisfaction with the landlord's approach to digital connectivity.

5 Measuring performance

The tables in this section summarise each pillar's indicators of performance, the data to be captured and analysed for each indicator, and the units of measurement for performance with indicative performance measures.

While the maximum benefit will be achieved by measuring performance against all pillars, some users may prefer to focus their attention on one or more specific pillars initially and then evolve their adoption of IBOS over time.

In all instances, it is assumed that 'core' data is captured for the relevant workspaces, which consists of:

- floor area
- number of occupants and
- functional description of the space, e.g. office, workshop, store, etc.

In many instances, data can be captured from any building management or helpdesk system in operation. Such systems can help monitor environmental conditions of the workplace, complaints or issues raised, and can be complemented by findings from user satisfaction surveys that specifically address that indicator.

The user satisfaction survey may be a periodic exercise to capture the perceptions and sentiment of users through, for example, focus groups or online surveys of all occupiers. Alternatively, feedback from occupiers may be captured in real time via an app, enabling satisfaction with aspects of the workplace to be recorded immediately when an issue arises.

In all instances, it is assumed that users of IBOS are aware of the relevant prevailing local, regional or national legislative framework and good practice that exists, in particular in relation to the indicators for the 'Compliant' pillar.

The data to be captured falls into two broad categories:

- **Variable data** that needs to be captured and analysed systematically and regularly, e.g. the temperature, lighting levels and energy consumption in the working environment and across all relevant zones and space types. Variable data of this nature constitutes the more significant category in terms of the volume of data to be captured.
- **Other data**, in the form of discreet reports, other documents and data that evidences adherence to the principles of IBOS, e.g. workplace protocols, asset strategies and a net zero carbon strategy.

Section 7 provides guidance on methods of rating an organisation's performance against these categories of indicators.

All datasets referred to in the tables below can be categorised as variable, except those marked with an asterisk (*).

5.1 Pillar 1: Compliant

Indicator	Data to be captured and analysed	Unit of measurement/indicative performance measure
Temperature	• Temperature readings • User satisfaction data	• Degrees centigrade
CO ₂	• CO₂ level • User satisfaction data	• CO₂ parts per million
Ventilation rate	• Air flow • User satisfaction data	• Litres per second per person
Noise	• Noise level • User satisfaction data	• Decibels
Lighting	• Lighting level • User satisfaction data	• Lux
Health and safety	• Incident logs* • Findings and recommendations from audits* • Risk assessments and recommendations* • User concerns and issues raised	• Number of incidents per person/full-time equivalent (FTE)/area/time period

5.2 Pillar 2: Functional

Indicators	Data to be captured and analysed	Unit of measurement/indicative performance measure
Workspace optimisation – utilisation and fitness for purpose of workspace	• User functional requirements* • Occupancy levels and utilisation data • Workspace protocols* • User satisfaction data	• Existence of clear user requirements • Existence of workplace protocols • m² • m² per person/FTE/ workstation (if appropriate) • FTE per workstation • Other measures of utilisation according to specific asset type
Business continuity	• Business continuity plan (BCP)* • BCP testing regime* • Recommendations arising from tests*	• Existence of BCP • Frequency of testing
Connectivity	• ICT infrastructure availability • Incidence of failure • User satisfaction data	• Connectivity failure rate – number per FTE/annum • Connectivity rating or equivalent

5.3 Pillar 3: Economic

Indicators	Data to be captured and analysed	Unit of measurement/indicative performance measure
Life cycle costs	• Costs • Condition assessments • Backlog maintenance • Costed CAPEX plans • Asset strategy* • Maintenance records	• Cost per m² • Cost per m² per annum • Existence of costed CAPEX plan and asset strategy
Operating costs	• Floor area • Condition assessments • Backlog maintenance • Property running costs • Asset strategy* • Maintenance records	• Cost per m² • Cost per m² per annum • Existence of condition assessment and asset strategy

5.4 Pillar 4: Sustainable

Indicators	Data to be captured and analysed	Unit of measurement/indicative performance measure
Operational energy use	• kWh energy consumed • Proportion of energy from sustainable sources • Litres of water consumption • Cost of utilities • Net zero carbon strategy* • Condition assessments	• kWh per m²/FTE • Embodied carbon • CO₂ emissions • Litres per m²/FTE • Environmental rating or equivalent
Waste	• Volumes and type of waste disposed of • Methods of disposal • Costs incurred • User satisfaction data	• m³ or kg of waste material • Waste recycling rate • Proportion of waste to landfill • Cost of waste disposal per FTE/annum
Social value	• Stakeholder/community engagement plan* • Social value strategy* • Social value generated	• Existence of engagement plan • Existence of social value strategy • Social value generated per annum
Transport	• Number of EV charging points • Green travel plan* • Shower provision for cyclists	• Charging points per FTE/ total number of parking spaces • Existence of green travel plan • Number of showers per FTE

5.5 Pillar 5: Performing

Indicators	Data to be captured and analysed	Unit of measurement/indicative performance measure
User experience	User sentiment survey data	User experience rating or equivalent
Responsiveness of FM services	- KPIs relating to responsiveness - Performance against KPIs - Helpdesk data - User satisfaction data	Response rate per KPI
Well-being	User satisfaction data	Well-being rating or equivalent

6 How to apply IBOS

Figure 5 summarises the principal stages of the application of IBOS from the identification of the pillars to apply through to the measurement of outcomes and a repeat of the process to deliver continuous improvement.




Figure 5: Stages in the application of IBOS

6.1 Stage 1: Establish the pillars

It is important to note that while best value is obtained by assessing performance against all pillars, it is possible to focus on one or more pillars according to the circumstances. The selection of pillars will be driven by factors such as the prevailing priorities of the organisation and the maturity of data in its possession.

6.2 Stage 2a: Capture and analyse data

- 1 Assemble data for each relevant indicator – this may be ‘live’ data or may need to be captured manually on an ad hoc basis.
- 2 Analyse data to create performance metrics if appropriate to the indicator, e.g. operating cost per m², space per FTE, CO₂ emissions per m².
- 3 Create a baseline dataset for each pillar.
- 4 Assess level of maturity for capturing and analysing data.
- 5 Compare current data and performance metrics with targets, benchmarks and good practice, e.g. prevailing statutory requirements, targets from industry codes of practice or benchmarking, and/or targets sets internally.

Performance metrics can be created relating to the space occupied, the number of occupants, the number of workstations or other such denominators. These datasets will need to be captured and analysed in a consistent manner across a portfolio, but also consistently with comparators if meaningful outputs are expected from benchmarking or comparison with industry-standard performance metrics.

A balanced scorecard or similar approach may be used to present data and performance metrics.

6.3 Stage 2b: Capture and analyse processes

- 1 Note current processes for capturing and analysing data, for example:
 - Is data captured automatically or manually?
 - What is the frequency of data capture?
 - Is user satisfaction assessed and, if so, how are the findings integrated with ‘hard’ data?
 - What sort of data analysis is undertaken to provide meaningful information to support decision-making?
 - Are established standards, certifications and methodologies being applied or in place?
 - Are targets set and, if so, what is the basis of the target setting? For example, is it a statutory requirement, adherence to good practice and/or a means of achieving continuous improvement?

- How is the data used to support tactical or strategic decision-making?

2 Compare the current processes with prevailing good practice.

6.4 Stage 3: Perform gap analysis

- 1 Note any gaps between current data and performance metrics and targets, benchmarks or good practice, and understand why they exist.
- 2 Note any gaps between current processes and good practice, and understand why they exist.
- 3 Consider how the gaps can be bridged, e.g. through additional targeted investment in the asset, asset rationalisation or automation of processes.

6.5 Stage 4a: Prepare value creation plan

Prepare and communicate a value creation plan to improve processes, data quality and asset performance, with associated costs and benefits.

This value creation plan may include:

- capturing information in a more systematic and automated manner
- capturing new datasets
- analysing information in a different (and potentially automated) manner and enhancing the level of maturity
- broadening the scope of issues addressed when seeking to understand the user experience
- integrating data on user satisfaction with data on, for instance, the use of space, the standard of maintenance or the quality of the working environment
- setting new and stretching targets for asset performance, and
- working with providers of third-party standards and accreditations to help address specific aspects of performance.

6.5 Stage 4b: Identify and report on outputs

- 1 Note the measurable and more immediate outputs from the implementation of IBOS and the emerging value creation plan.
- 2 Identify any noticeable changes to underlying business performance and whether they can be attributed to property asset performance.
- 3 Identify trends where data is captured over a period of time.
- 4 Establish reasons for different performance levels over time, or between assets at any point in time.

- 5 Report to stakeholders such as senior management team and building occupiers.

6.6 Stage 5a: Undertake performance review

- 1 Review the impact of the changes that have been implemented to determine the outcomes achieved for the organisation, its employees, etc.
- 2 Repeat the process periodically or after a relevant event, such as a relocation or significant investment in the workplace.

6.7 Stage 5b: Identify and report on outcomes

- 1 Note the measurable, longer-term and sustainable outcomes from the implementation of IBOS and the supporting value creation plan.
- 2 Report improved outcomes to stakeholders within the organisation.
- 3 Use what was learned from the implementation of the value creation plan to seek further incremental improvements.

7 Application of IBOS

IBOS does not specify the precise tools or techniques required to comply with it. Since IBOS relies on the capture and analysis of multiple datasets, there is a powerful argument in favour of automating the process as much as possible, particularly where the performance of a portfolio is to be assessed.

While regular monitoring of asset performance in line with the principles of IBOS will provide early warning of emerging performance issues, IBOS users should carefully consider their approach to the monitoring of user satisfaction to avoid 'questionnaire fatigue'.

Periodic monitoring may however be acceptable to occupiers if results are shared and benefits are seen to result from, for example, asset managers taking steps in line with concerns raised.

As mentioned in section 5, variable data should be tracked regularly and systematically. Methods for capturing, analysing and reporting on this data can vary in their level of complexity and sophistication.

The precise approach to capturing this category of data will depend on the operating model for managing the assets, the type of assets and their level of sophistication, and the size of the portfolio. For an organisation occupying a relatively small building, a manual approach to capturing data periodically may make sense. On the other hand, those responsible for managing large, complex and diverse portfolios may find that the automated capture of data via, for example, helpdesk and building management systems, coupled with online approaches to detecting the sentiment and satisfaction of users in real time, may be the optimum approach.

Today, the availability of smart technologies, including internet-enabled sensors and analysis tools, provides building owners and managers with the ability to access metrics across the five pillars on a continuous and real-time basis.

Since IBOS aims to improve the decision-making capabilities of organisations to deliver enhanced outcomes, it is possible to distinguish organisations according to what they subsequently do with the data they capture.

7.1 Variable data

For variable data, Table 1 sets out a range of levels in the capturing, analysing and reporting of performance against each indicator in terms of the maturity of these processes.

Maturity level	Characterised by
Undeveloped	No systematic monitoring or measurement of the indicator.
Developing	Systematic monitoring and measurement of the indicator against a benchmark or target.
Good	Systematic monitoring and measurement of the indicator against a benchmark or target, and Regular reporting to the board/equivalent on performance and how it can be improved.
Advanced	Systematic and automated monitoring and measurement of the indicator against a benchmark or target, and Regular reporting to the board/equivalent on performance and how it can be improved, and Technology is used to automate the capture and analysis of data.

Table 1: Maturity level of IBOS variable data capture and analysis

An honest self-assessment of maturity can be an important first step in the application of IBOS by setting a baseline in terms of data management. By developing and implementing a value creation plan, steps can be taken to expand the scale and sophistication of data management through automation and the reporting of findings.

The ability to achieve 'advanced' maturity status will depend on a range of factors such as the existing approaches to data capture and the supporting systems and technology in place.

7.2 Reports, documents and other data

To complement the capture of variable data, IBOS recommends that users record the existence of certain reports, documents and other data formats that help to evidence adherence to the principles of IBOS.

A rating methodology such as the following is recommended in order to note the status of the item.

Status	Characterised by
Undeveloped	No report, document or other relevant data.
Developing	Report, document or other data is under development or being captured.
Good	Report, document or other relevant data is in place or has already been captured.

Table 2: Rating methodology for reports, documents and other data

7.3 IBOS assessment tool

RICS has developed a free IBOS assessment tool enabling users to record their level of maturity in relation to variable data, and the extent to which they have a suite of other reports and similar data identified in section 5. This tool enables users to quickly self-assess; use the findings to develop and implement a value creation plan; and deliver continuous improvement for the organisation, the users of the space and other stakeholders.

In addition, technological solutions could be adopted in support of IBOS, such as:

- business intelligence software to draw together data from multiple sources and create highly visual performance dashboards
- building sensors to capture data on the use of space and air quality
- helpdesk systems
- off-the-shelf building management systems or tailored software, or
- a combination of these solutions.

7.4 IBOS and digital risk

Building operation is becoming ever more technology- and data-driven. IBOS is a data-driven framework that provides a standardised understanding of a building's operation; however, it is also important that the digital risks to the building and users are considered, and that the data collected is used in an ethical way.

A professionally managed building should aim to have a documented register of the largest digital risks it faces, and the steps that can be taken to manage them.

8 IBOS outputs and outcome

The application of IBOS does not stop at the collation and analysis of data. Instead, it requires users to develop value creation plans to enhance asset performance and the experience and well-being of occupiers. The actions taken are then expected to result in improved outcomes for the organisation and its internal and external stakeholders.

This is entirely consistent with the theme of adding value to the organisation through asset management, which is highlighted in the current edition of the RICS guidelines on [Strategic public sector property asset management](#).

Figure 6 identifies some of the immediate outputs of the application of IBOS and the potential outcomes for an organisation, its workforce and wider stakeholders.




Figure 6: IBOS outputs and outcomes

The principal outputs of the application of IBOS include:

- **Performance dashboard:** users can assess their asset and workplace performance with reference to a wide range of quantifiable measures of performance, but also the activities or processes performed to, for example, collate and analyse data, and report on performance.
- **People-centric asset management:** IBOS places the user at the centre when measuring asset performance. Engagement with users is fundamental to measuring performance against many of the 'technical' indicators of IBOS, while also being at the core of the 'Performing' pillar.
- **Case for change:** IBOS helps asset managers to identify where and how asset performance, including the user experience, can be improved through investment or other interventions. Problems can be identified, but so too can opportunities to take forward. A well-constructed action plan will set out the costs and benefits, both financial and non-financial, and support a robust case for change. This may also involve the preparation of a formal business case or a less formal case for investment depending on the governance arrangements in place.

These immediate outputs can then, either independently or in combination with other outputs, support the delivery of positive outcomes and thereby add value.

The outcomes expected from the robust and systematic application of IBOS are likely to include the items identified in Figure 6:

- **More efficient asset management:** by identifying where, for example, costs are out of line with benchmarks and other comparators, or space is being under-utilised.
- **Improved ESG credentials:** by helping to identify opportunities to implement, for example, more sustainable operations and generate social value with stakeholders, including the community.
- **More productive workforce:** by identifying and addressing issues that will improve the user experience and delivering a responsive asset management service.
- **More effective workplace:** by adapting the workplace in response to changing user needs.
- **Continuous improvement:** by developing and implementing value creation plans.
- **Better targeted investment:** by highlighting where and how investment can improve asset performance and the user experience, and potentially the value of the asset.

Annex A: Standards, certifications, methodologies and tools

The following table lists a range of established standards, certifications and methodologies for assessing performance. It is not intended to be an exhaustive list.

Standard/certification/tool	Coverage
WELL	Health and well-being
Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED)	Sustainability
Green Star	Sustainability
Green Globe Certification	Sustainability
Energy Star	Sustainability
Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM)	Sustainability
SKA Rating	Sustainability
National Australian Built Environment Rating System (NABERS)	Sustainability
WiredScore	Digital connectivity
SmartScore	Smart buildings/user experience
JSA-S 1001 Employee Satisfaction Standards	Occupier satisfaction
ISO 10018:2020 Quality management – Guidance for people engagement	Occupier satisfaction
Leesman Index	Occupier satisfaction
International Property Measurement Standards (IPMS)	Measurement of built assets
International Cost Management Standard (ICMS)	Costing of projects
SAFE Hospitality, Office, Retail and Exhibitions (SHORE)	Operational risk/governance
IMMUNE Building Standard	Health and safety
Digital Property Risk toolkit	Digital risk
International Ethics Standard	Ethics

Delivering confidence

We are RICS. Everything we do is designed to effect positive change in the built and natural environments. Through our respected global standards, leading professional progression and our trusted data and insight, we promote and enforce the highest professional standards in the development and management of land, real estate, construction and infrastructure. Our work with others provides a foundation for confident markets, pioneers better places to live and work and is a force for positive social impact.

Americas, Europe, Middle East & Africa
aemea@rics.org

Asia Pacific
apac@rics.org

United Kingdom & Ireland
contactrics@rics.org



rics.org