### **Absorption Spectroscopy**



### **Absorption Spectroscopy**





# Why Absorption Spectroscopy?



- Color is ubiquitous to humans
- 1000 x more sensitive than NMR
- Qualitative technique (what is in the solution)
- Quantitative technique (concentrations, ratios, etc.)
- Its easy
- It is inexpensive
- Numerous applications

### **Absorption Spectroscopy in Action**

#### <u>HPLC</u>



Fig. 3. HPLC chromatogram and UV-vis spectra (inset) of Bromo-Dragonfly. The HPLC trace was detected at 210 nm.



Yellow (pH > 4.4)







Red (pH > 3.2)







# **Outline**

- 1) Absorption
- 2) Spectrum Beer's Law
- 3) Instrument Components
  - Light sources
  - Monochrometers
  - Detectors
  - Other components
  - •The sample
- 4) Instrument Architectures5) UV-Vis in Action
- 6) Potential Complications



### **Absorption by the Numbers**



We don't measure absorbance. We measure transmittance.



• Transmittanc

e:

• Absorbance:

A = -log T = log  $P_0/P$ 



### **Beer's Law**

### The Beer-Lambert Law ( $\lambda$ specific):

**Α = ε c l** 

- A = absorbance (unitless, A =  $\log_{10} P_0/P$ )
- $\varepsilon$  = molar absorptivity (L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- I = path length of the sample (cm)
- c = concentration (mol/L or M)





### **Absorption Spectrum**



# **Beer's Law**

The Beer-Lambert Law:

A = absorbance (unitless, A =  $\log_{10} P_0/P$ )

- $\varepsilon$  = molar absorbtivity (L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- = path length of the sample (cm)
- c = concentration (mol/L or M)

#### **Find** ε

- 1) Make a solution of know concentration (C)
- 2) Put in a cell of known length (I)
- 3) Measure A by UV-Vis
- 4) Calculate  $\epsilon$



#### **Find Concentrations**

- 1) Know ε
- 2) Put sample in a cell of known length (I)
- 3) Measure A by UV-Vis
- 4) Calculate C



### **Beer's Law Applied to Mixtures**



$$A_{1} = \varepsilon_{1} C_{1} I$$

$$A_{\text{total}} = A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3}...$$

$$A_{\text{total}} = \varepsilon_{1} C_{1} I + \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} I + \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} I$$

$$A_{\text{total}} = I(\varepsilon_{1} C_{1} + \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} + \varepsilon_{3} C_{3})$$

### **Limitations to Bear's Law**

### The Beer-Lambert Law:

**A** = ε **c I** 

#### Reflection/Scattering Loss Reflection losses at interfaces Seattle log losses it solution peam, P,

Secttoring Insses Ab

Reflection lesses at mostfaces



- Aggregates

#### Lamp effects

- Temperature (line broadening)
- Light source changes
- Solvent lensing

#### Absorbance too high (above 2)

- Local environment effects
- Dimerization
- Refractive index change (ionic strength)

#### Sample changes

- Photoreaction/decomposition
- Side of the cuvette
- Hydrogen bonding
- Non-uniform through length



# $A = -\log T = \log P_0/P$

# **Absorption Spectroscopy**

!)



### **Instrumentation**

### Full spectra detection

### Single $\lambda$ detection





# **Instrumentation**



### Full spectra detection

- Source
- Sample
- Monochrometer
- Area detector

### Single $\lambda$ detection

- Source
- Monochrometer
- Sample
- "Point" detector
- 1. Light sources
- 2. Monochrometer
- 3. Detectors
- 4. Samples

### Light Sources, Ideal



# Light Sources: The Sun



#### Pros:

It's free!

Does not die

Relatively uniform from 400-800 nm

#### Cons:

Inconsistent

Minimal UV-light

Intense absorption lines





### Light Sources: Xe Lamp

#### Electricity through Xe gas





#### Pros:

Mimics the sun (solar simulator) It's simple

### Cons:

Relatively Expensive Minimal UV-light (<300 nm) Potential Instability



### Light Sources: Xe Lamp



### Light Sources: Tungsten Halogen Lamp



Halogen gas and the tungsten filament Higher pressure (7-8 ATM)



#### Pros:

Compact size

High intensity

Low cost

Long lifetime

Fast turn on

Stable

#### Cons:

Very hot Bulb can explode Minimal UV-light (<300 nm)

### "White" Light





### **Other Light Sources**



### **Separating the Light**



Prism







### **Monochromator: Prism**



### **Monochromator: Prism**



### **Monochromator: Grating**



- $\lambda = 2d(\sin \theta_i + \sin \theta_r)$
- $\lambda$  = wavelength
- d = grating spacing
- $\theta_i$  = incident angle
- $\theta_r$  = diffracted angle



### **Monochromator: Grating**



### **Detectors**



- high sensitivity
- high signal/noise
- constant response for

λs

fast response time

### Single $\lambda$ detection

Diode

PMT





# Full spectra detection

CCD Diode Array





### **Detectors: Diode**



Pigure 15-27 Quantitative Chemical Analysis, Seventh Edition © 2007 W. H. Freeman and Company

### *n*-type (extra electrons)- P or As doped *p*-type (extra holes)- Al or B doped

#### Forward Bias:

Apply a positive potential holes +  $e^-$  = exciton = light Light Emitting Diode

# Zero Bias:

Apply 0 potential exciton = holes + e<sup>-</sup> = current silicon solar cell

#### **Negative Bias:**

Apply a negative potential exciton = holes + e<sup>-</sup> = more current photodetector

# **Detectors: Diode**





#### 0.025 mm wide

#### Pros:

Long Lifetime Small/Compact Inexpensive Linear response 190-1000 nm

#### Cons:

No wavelength discrimination Minimal internal gain Much lower sensitivity Small active area Slow (>50 ns) Low dynamic range



- Cathode: 1 photon = 5-20 electrons
- More positive potential with each dynode
- Operated at -1000 to -2000 V



**Architectures** 

D1

D2

D3

D4

D5

 $\sim$ 

LOAD







#### Pros:

Extremely sensitive UV-Vis-nIR 100,000,000x current amplifier (single photons) Low Noise Compact Inexpensive (\$175-500)



#### Cons:

- No wavelength discrimination
- Wavelength dependent T

Saturation

**Magnetic Field Effects** 

### Super-Kamiokande Experiment





- 1 km underground
- h = 40 m, d = 40 m
- 50,000 tons of water
- 11,000 PMTs
- neutrino + water = Cherenkov
   Radiation

### **Instrumentation**

### Single $\lambda$ detection



### **Full Spectrum Detection**



### Diode Array






### **Detectors: Diode Array**

### Diode



### **Diode Array**



#### Pros:

Quick measurement

Full spectra in "real time"

Inexpensive

Less moving parts

#### <u>Cons:</u>

Lower resolution (~1 nm) Slow (>50 ns)

More expensive than a single  $\lambda$ 

### **Detectors: Charge-Coupled Device**



### **Detectors: CCD**



#### Anatomy of a Charge Coupled Device (CCD)

#### Pros:

Fast

Efficient (~80 % quantum yield)

Full visible spectrum

Wins you the 2006 Nobel Prize (Smith and Boyle)

#### <u>Cons:</u>

Lower dynamic range Fast (<50 ns) Gaps between pixels Expensive (~\$10,000-20,000)



### **Area Detector Calibration**



### **Instrumentation**

### Single $\lambda$ detection



### **Other Components**



Mirrors







### **Entrance/Exit Slits**



Shutter

### **Other Components**

### Polarizer



### **Beam Splitter**











#### DOI: 10.1021/ja406020r



DOI: 10.1021/ja406020r

#### Hemoglobin Absorption









#### **Plasmonic Heating**



#### Photo Drug Delivery









#### Solutions

Solids







### **The Sample: Cuvette for Solutions**





### **The Sample: Cuvette**



#### **Transmission Window**





### **The Sample: Specialty Cuvettes**

<u>Path</u> A <u>length</u> - € C I

#### **Dilute Samples**



#### **Concentrated Samples**



0.2 cm 0.5 cm



#### Flow Cell



Specechem

<u>Air-free</u>

Gas Cell



Type 34 50mm 100mm

## The Sample: Solvent

- Concentration (typically <50 µM)</li>
- Solubility
- Ionic strength
- Hydrogen bonding
- Aggregation
- π-stacking
- Solvent absorption



#### Common solvent cutoffs in nm:

190

water	
acetonitrile	190
isooctane	195
cyclohexane	200
n-hexane	200
ethanol	205
methanol	210
ether	210
1,4-dioxane	215
THF	220
	235
Chloroform	240
CCl	265
benzene	280
toluene	285
acetone	340

### **The Sample: Solvent**

190



### **The Sample: Solvent**

#### Vibrational Structure

#### **Solvatochromism**





1,2,4,5-Tetrazine

### **Correcting for background**



### A = $-\log T = \log P_0/P$





# We want to know A (log $P_0/P$ ) for only our sample!

cuvette + solvent + sample

 $A_{all} = A_{cuvette} + A_{solvent} + A_{sample}$ 



 $A_{all} - A_{background} = A_{sample}$ 



How do we measure background (reference) and sample?

### **Architectures**

- 1) Single Beam
- 2) Double Beam
  - Spatially Separated
  - Temporally
    Separated

## Single Beam Instrument



- 1) Light Source On
- 2) Reference in holder
- 3) Open Shutter
- 4) Measure light  $(P_0)$
- 5) Raster  $\lambda$  and repeat 4
- 6) Close Shutter
- 7) Sample cell in holder
- 8) Open Shutter
- 9) Measure intensity (F
- 10) Raster  $\lambda$  and repeat 9
- 11) Close Shutter

$$A = -\log T = \log P_0 / P$$

#### Pros:

- Simple Less expensive Less optics Less moving parts Higher light intensity
- Can use the same cuvette

#### <u>Cons:</u>

Changes over time Better for short term experiments Manually move samples

### **Double Beam Instrument**

### **Spatially Separated**



### Temporally Separated



#### <u>Compensates for:</u> 1) Lamp Fluctuations

Sources of Instability in Metal Halide Arc Discharge Lamps



- 2) Temperature changes
- 3) Amplifier changes
- 4) Electromagnetic noise
- 5) Voltage spikes
- 6) Continuous recording

## **Double Beam Instrument: Spatial**



Sequence of Events

- Light Source On
- Reference and sample in holder
- 3) **Open Shutter**
- Measure detector 1 ( $P_0$ ) and 2 (P) Cons: 4)
- Raster  $\lambda$  and repeat 4 5)
- 6) Close Shutter

$$A = -\log T = \log P_0/P$$

#### **Pros**:

Both samples simultaneously Less moving parts (than temporal)

Two different cuvettes Two different detectors <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> the intensity More expensive

### **Double Beam Instrument: Temporal**



**Open Shutter** 4)

2)

3)

Monitor detector 5)



- 6) Raster  $\lambda$  and repeat 4
- 7) Close Shutter

$$A = -\log T = \log P_0/P$$

#### **Pros**:

Both samples "simultaneously" Same Detector

#### Cons:

Two different cuvettes  $\frac{1}{2}$  the intensity rotating mirrors not really simultaneous

#### Single Beam



#### **Double Beam**



#### Agilent 8453: Single Beam, Diode Array Detector



#### Ocean Optics: Single Beam, CCD Detector





Cary 50: Single Beam, PMT detector



#### Hitachi U-2900: Double Beam, 2 x PMT detector





#### Cary 300: Double Beam, PMT detector



#### Cary 5000: Double Beam, PMT detector



### **Single Beam Instrument**

#### **DIY Spectrometer**









http://publiclab.org/wiki/spectrometer

### **Other Sampling Accessories**

#### Probe-type





#### Cryostat



Microplate Spectrometer





### **The Sample: Solids**







#### Solids/Films

• More scatter, more reflectance

No reference



### **The Sample: Solids**

A =  $-\log T = \log P_0/P$ 


## **The Sample: Solids**

#### **Integrating Sphere**





## Solid Sample

### A = $-\log T = \log P_0/P$



$$\begin{split} P_{0} &\approx T_{t(without \ sample)} - R_{d(with \ sample)} \\ P &\approx T_{t(with \ sample)} \\ A &\approx log \left( T_{t(without \ sample)} - R_{d(with \ sample)} \right) / T_{t(with \ sample)} \end{split}$$

# **Outline**

- 1) Beer's Law
- 2) Absorption Spectrum
- 3) Instrument Components
  - Light sources
  - Monochrometers
  - Detectors
  - Other components
  - •The sample
- 4) Instrument Architectures
- 5) Applications
- 6) Limitations







Fig. 3. HPLC chromatogram and UV-vis spectra (inset) of Bromo-Dragonfly. The HPLC trace was detected at 210 nm.



Titration of bromocresol

- 1) BromochesofGreen in  $H_2O$
- 2) Titrate with base
- 3) Monitor pH
- 4) Monitor Absorption Change
- 5) Graph absorbance vs pH







yellow

blue



## **Reaction Kinetics**





# **Real Time Monitoring**



3mL of 40  $\mu\text{M}$  RuBP in pH 1, atm

Monitor: Every 5 min for 180 min Every 30 min for 180 min Every 60 min for 3420 min







## **Spectral Fitting**



# **Spectral Fitting**



Table 1. Reaction rate constants for thephotodecomposition of RuBP (error in parentheses).<sup>a</sup>

Solvent	k <sub>A→B</sub> (10 <sup>-4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>B→C</sub> (10 <sup>-4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>c→D</sub> (10 <sup>-5</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>D→E</sub> (10 <sup>-6</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$H_2O$	2.8 (0.06)	1.3 (0.07)	3.4 (0.07)	4.0 (0.6)
$D_2O$	8.3 (0.08)	1.1 (0.02)	2.9 (0.07)	4.8 (1.1)
0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	3.2 (0.3)	1.5 (0.06)	2.9 (0.09)	1.6 (0.4)
0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub> <sup>b</sup>	16.4 (1.4)	2.9 (0.02)	4.9 (0.04)	2.9 (0.3)

a) In atmosphere with 455 nm (50 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) irradiation unless otherwise noted. b) Bubbled with pure  $O_2$ .

# **Potential Complications**

#### With the Sample

- Photo Reaction/Decomposition
- Concentration to high
  - non-linear (A > 2)
  - Aggregation
  - Refractive index change
- Air bubble generation

#### With the Cuvette + Solvent

- Cuvette non-uniformity
- Sample holder mobility
- Lensing (abs + heat)
- Temperature (line broadening)

#### With the Instrument

- Lamp Stability
- Room Lighting
- Noise





# **Any Questions?**